

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Fiscal Year 2020 Final TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS

July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020

Adopted June 2019

FY 20

Final

Transit Fund Allocations

Table of Contents

I. BUS TRANSIT SUBSIDIES

| State and Local Funds: | |
|--|------|
| Revenue Estimates | |
| State and Local Funds Summary | |
| Bus Transit Funding % Shares | • |
| Included and Eligible Operators Estimated Funding Levels | |
| Senate Bill 1 STA and SGR Funding Allocations | |
| Proposition C 5% Transit Security Funding Allocation | |
| Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs | |
| Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) . | |
| Zero-Fare Compensation for Commerce . Foothill Transit Mitigation . | |
| Transit Service Expansion . Discretionary Base Restructuring . | |
| BSIP Overcrowding Relief. Proposition 1B Bridge Funds - PTMISEA & SECURITY | |
| Proposition 1B Bridge Funding - PTMISEA (Worksheet) | |
| Proposition 1B Bridge Funding - Security (Worksheet) | |
| Measure R | |
| Measure M | |
| Tier 2 Operators Estimated Funding Levels | |
| Federal Formula Grants: | |
| Revenue Estimates | |
| Summary | |
| Capital Allocation Procedure - % Share Calculation | . 1 |
| Federal Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program | |
| Federal Section 5337 State of Good Repair | |
| Federal Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities | |
| II. LOCAL SUBSIDIES | |
| Incentive Programs | . 22 |
| Local Returns, TDA Articles 3 & 8 | |
| Summary of significant information, methodologies and assumptions | 2 |



Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY 2020 Transit Fund Allocations **Bus Transit Subsidies** STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS

REVENUE ESTIMATES

| STATE AND LOCAL | | FY20 Estimated Revenue | Carryover FY18 Budget vs Actual | Interest FY18 Actual | FY20 Total Funds Available | N O T E | FY19 Total Funds Available |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Transportation Development Act: Planning & Administration: 1 Planning - Metro | | \$ 4,365,000 | | | \$ 4,365,000 | | \$ 2,000,000 |
| 2 Planning - SCAG | | 3,273,750 | | | 3,273,750 | | 3,194,760 |
| 3 Administration - Metro 4 Sub-total | | 3,417,618 11,056,368 | | | 3,417,618 11,056,368 | | 3,305,240 8,500,000 |
| 5 Article 3 Pedestrian & Bikeways | 2.0000% | 8,508,873 | 213,440 | | 8,722,313 | | 8,190,639 |
| 6 Article 4 Bus Transit | 91.4022% | 388,864,956 | 9,781,278 | 4,180,100 | 402,826,334 | | 377,811,236 |
| 7 Article 8 Streets & Highways | 6.5978% | 28,069,804 | 677,292 | 4 400 400 | 28,747,096 | _ | 25,832,364 |
| 8 Total | | 436,500,000 | 10,672,010 | 4,180,100 | 451,352,110 | а | 420,334,239 |
| Proposition A: 9 Administration | 5.0000% | 43,650,000 | 4,255,688 | | 47,905,688 | | 41,882,086 |
| 10 Local Return | 25.0000% | 207,337,500 | n/a | | 207,337,500 | b | 200,450,000 |
| 11 Rail Development Bus Transit: | 35.0000% 40.0000% | 290,272,500 | 28,300,328 | | 318,572,828 | | 278,515,874 |
| 12 95% of 40% Capped at CPI 2.2800% | | 249,884,011 | n/a | | 249,884,011 | С | 244,313,659 |
| 13 95% of 40% Over CPI | | 65,268,989 | n/a | | 65,268,989 | d | 60,370,341 |
| 14 Sub-total | | 315,153,000 | - | | 315,153,000 | | 304,684,000 |
| 15 5% of 40% Incentive | | 16,587,000 | 1,617,162 | | 18,204,162 | | 15,915,193 |
| 16 Total | | 873,000,000 | 34,173,178 | | 907,173,178 | а | 841,447,153 |
| Proposition C: | | | | | | | |
| 17 Administration | 1.5000% | 13,095,000 | 518,181 | | 13,613,181 | | 12,563,535 |
| 18 Rail/Bus Security | 5.0000% | 42,995,250 | 1,701,362 | | 44,696,612 | | 41,250,275 |
| 19 Commuter Rail 20 Local Return | 10.0000% 20.0000% | 85,990,500 171,981,000 | 3,402,724 n/a | | 89,393,224 171,981,000 | b | 82,500,550 166,268,000 |
| 21 Freeways and Highways | 25.0000% | 214,976,250 | 8,506,811 | | 223,483,061 | Ь | 206,251,374 |
| 22 Discretionary | 40.0000% | 343,962,000 | 13,610,897 | | 357,572,897 | е | 330,002,198 |
| 23 Total | | 873,000,000 | 27,739,976 | | 900,739,976 | а | 838,835,932 |
| State Transit Assistance: | | | | | | f | |
| 24 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share) | | 66,175,749 | 12,978,370 | 301,617 | 79,455,736 | • | 61,485,106 |
| 25 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share) | | 51,830,263 | 9,756,859 | 157,099 | 61,744,221 | | 42,285,854 |
| 26 Total | | 118,006,012 | 22,735,229 | 458,716 | 141,199,957 | | 103,770,960 |
| SB 1 State Transit Assistance: | | | | | | g,f | |
| 27 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share) | | 54,854,073 | 489,221 | 156,947 | 55,500,241 | h | 38,826,260 |
| 28 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share) 29 Total | | 42,962,883 97,816,955 | 364,936 854,157 | 79,765 236,712 | 43,407,584 98,907,824 | | 29,204,175 68,030,435 |
| 29 TOLAI | | 97,010,955 | 034,157 | 230,112 | 90,901,824 | | 00,030,435 |
| SB 1 State Of Good Repair | | 40.004.0== | 4 000 0== | 00 00- | 40.000.455 | g,f | 40.005.705 |
| 30 Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share) 31 Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share) | | 16,861,025 13,205,917 | 1,809,075 1,425,503 | 23,388 17,676 | 18,693,488 14,649,096 | h | 18,085,788 13,603,692 |
| 31 Rail (FOC 99513 Population Share) 32 Total | | 30,066,941 | 3,234,578 | 41,064 | 33,342,583 | | 31,689,480 |
| | | 22,223,011 | -,, | , | ,, | | 21,222,100 |

REVENUE ESTIMATES (continued)

| | STATE AND LOCAL | | FY20 Estimated Revenue | Carryover FY18 Budget vs Actual | Interest FY18 Actual | FY20 Total Funds Available | N O T E | FY19 Total Funds Available |
|--------------|---|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Measure | <u>R:</u> | | | | | | | |
| 33 Ad | ministration | 1.5000% | 13,095,000 | 520,818 | 458,001 | 14,073,819 | | 12,498,839 |
| 34 Tra | ansit Capital - "New Rail" | 35.0000% | 300,966,750 | 11,970,127 | 846,522 | 313,783,399 | | 289,119,183 |
| | ansit Capital - Metrolink | 3.0000% | 25,797,150 | 1,026,011 | 415,921 | 27,239,082 | | 25,915,175 |
| | ansit Capital - Metro Rail | 2.0000% | 17,198,100 | 684,007 | (581,024) | 17,301,083 | | 16,150,117 |
| 37 Hig | ghway Capital | 20.0000% | 171,981,000 | 6,840,073 | 3,073,700 | 181,894,773 | | 166,264,617 |
| | erations "New Rail" | 5.0000% | 42,995,250 | 1,710,018 | 91,199 | 44,796,467 | | 41,335,567 |
| | erations Bus | 20.0000% | 171,981,000 | 6,840,073 | (206,767) | 178,614,306 | | 164,684,961 |
| | cal Return | 15.0000% | 128,985,750 | 3,990 | 9,902 | 128,999,642 | b | 124,701,077 |
| 41 To | tal | | 873,000,000 | 29,595,117 | 4,107,454 | 906,702,571 | а | 840,669,537 |
| | cal Return Supplemental & Administration: | | | | | | | |
| 42 | Administration | 0.5000% | 4,495,950 | 335,105 | (5,284) | 4,825,771 | | 4,346,600 |
| 43 | Supplemental transfer to Local Return | 1.0000% | 8,599,050 | n/a | n/a | 8,599,050 | b,i | 8,313,400 |
| 44 | Sub-total | | 13,095,000 | 335,105 | (5,284) | 13,424,821 | | 12,660,000 |
| 45 Loc | cal Return Base | 16.0000% | 137,584,800 | n/a | n/a | 137,584,800 | b,i | 133,014,400 |
| 46 Me | etro Rail Operations | 5.0000% | 42,995,250 | 3,204,645 | 3,042 | 46,202,937 | | 41,567,000 |
| 47 Tra | ansit Operations (Metro & Municipal Providers) | 20.0000% | 171,981,000 | 12,818,580 | (53,858) | 184,745,722 | | 166,268,000 |
| 48 AD | A Paratransit/Metro Discounts for Seniors & Students | 2.0000% | 17,198,100 | 1,281,858 | (27,634) | 18,452,324 | | 16,626,800 |
| 49 Tra | ansit Construction | 35.0000% | 300,966,750 | 22,432,516 | (80,559) | 323,318,707 | | 290,969,000 |
| 50 Me | etro State of Good Repairs | 2.0000% | 17,198,100 | 1,281,858 | 65,788 | 18,545,746 | | 16,626,800 |
| 51 Hig | ghway Construction | 17.0000% | 146,183,850 | 10,895,793 | (233,298) | 156,846,345 | | 141,327,800 |
| | etro Active Transportation Program | 2.0000% | 17,198,100 | 1,281,858 | (960) | 18,478,998 | | 16,626,800 |
| 53 Re | gional Rail | 1.0000% | 8,599,050 | 640,929 | 48,831 | 9,288,810 | | 8,313,400 |
| 54 To | tal | | 873,000,000 | 54,173,143 | (283,932) | 926,889,211 | а | 844,000,000 |
| 55 To | tal Funds Available | | \$ 4,174,389,909 | \$ 183,177,388 | \$ 8,740,114 | \$ 4,366,307,411 | | \$ 3,988,777,736 |
| | tal Planning & Admin Allocations: nes 4, 9, 17, 27 and 36) | | \$ 85,392,318 | \$ 5,629,793 | \$ 452,717 | \$ 91,474,827 | | \$ 79,791,060 |

- a) The revenue estimate is 3.4% over the FY19 revenue estimate based on several economic forecasts evaluated by MTA.
- b) Local Return Subfunds do not show carryover balances. These funds are distributed in the same period received. Carryover represents the funds that had not been spent, and past the lapsing period and will be re-allocated to all the cities based on the formula.
- c) Consumer price index (CPI) of 2.28% represents the average estimated growth rate based on various forecasting sources and historical trends applied to Prop A discretionary allocated to Included operators.
- d) Proposition A 95% of 40% Bus Transit growth over CPI estimate will be used to fund Eligible and Tier 2 operators. The carryover is not shown since it has been converted into Proposition C 40% discretionary to fund various Board-approved discretionary programs.
- e) FY18 Transit Fund allocations were amended, resulting in an adjustment to reallocate \$513,331 to Foothill Transit Mitigation and Zero-fare Compensation fund recipients.
- f) STA Revenue estimate from the State Controller's office is reduced by 5% for the revenue base share and population-base share due to anticipated shortfall of FY20 revenue.
- 9) The SGR program is one of two programs that allocate Senate Bill (SB) 1, known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, to transit agencies through the State Transit Assistance (STA) formula. The first program augments the base of the State Transit Assistance program with a portion of the new sales tax on diesel fuel and does not require pre-approval of project list. The second portion State of Good Repair is a new program funded from the increase in Vehicle License Fee. In order to be eligible for SGR funding, eligible agencies must comply with various reporting requirements.
- h) STA and SGR portion of SB1 will be allocated based on Measure R allocation methodology.
- i) Measure M provides for a total of 17% net revenues for Local Return. Supplement of 1% to be funded by 1.5% Administration.

STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS

| | | | Formula Alloca | tion Procedure | | Proposition C | Proposition C | Meas | ure R | Measure | Senat | e Bill 1 | |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|----------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | Operators | TDA Article 4 + | STA+ Interest | Proposition A 95% of 40 % Discretionary | Sub-Total FAP | 5% Security | 40% Discretionary | 20% Bus Operations | Clean Fuel & Facilities | M | STA | State of Good Repair | Total |
| | ncluded Operators: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Metro Bus Ops | \$ 296,500,297 | \$ 58,542,563 | \$ 184,113,208 | \$ 539,156,068 | \$ 32,634,277 | \$ 23,368,663 | \$ 122,693,057 | \$ 6,596,834 | \$ 126,904,826 | \$ 38,124,013 | \$ 12,666,297 | \$ 902,144,036 |
| | Municipal Operators: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Arcadia | 361,705 | 71,345 | 224,375 | 657,425 | 6,630 | 104,793 | 149,524 | 17,409 | 154,657 | 46,461 | 15,436 | 1,152,335 |
| 3 | Claremont | 139,086 | 27,434 | 86,279 | 252,799 | 2,650 | 50,124 | 57,496 | 5,779 | 59,470 | 17,866 | 5,936 | 452,120 |
| 4 | Commerce | 469,201 | 92,548 | 291,058 | 852,806 | 42,323 | 1,241,555 | 193,961 | 33,048 | 200,619 | 60,269 | 20,024 | 2,644,606 |
| 5 | Culver City | 5,749,508 | 1,134,065 | 3,566,575 | 10,450,148 | 404,087 | 2,154,335 | 2,376,766 | 141,775 | 2,458,354 | 738,525 | 245,367 | 18,969,357 |
| 6 | Foothill Transit | 26,695,630 | 5,265,596 | 16,560,017 | 48,521,244 | 1,042,060 | 10,010,062 | 11,035,597 | 838,277 | 11,414,423 | 3,429,055 | 1,139,267 | 87,429,985 |
| 7 | Gardena | 5,845,949 | 1,153,088 | 3,626,399 | 10,625,436 | 256,444 | 2,589,260 | 2,416,633 | 123,656 | 2,499,590 | 750,912 | 249,483 | 19,511,414 |
| 8 | La Mirada | 108,550 | 21,411 | 67,336 | 197,297 | 3,523 | 24,614 | 44,873 | 6,427 | 46,413 | 13,943 | 4,632 | 341,722 |
| 9 | Long Beach | 25,485,868 | 4,967,803 | 15,623,472 | 46,077,142 | 1,978,899 | 10,306,518 | 10,411,483 | 618,031 | 10,768,885 | 3,235,126 | 1,074,836 | 84,470,920 |
| 10 | Montebello | 8,840,232 | 1,743,697 | 5,483,834 | 16,067,763 | 479,886 | 3,826,638 | 3,654,427 | 186,899 | 3,779,875 | 1,135,528 | 377,267 | 29,508,284 |
| 11 | Norwalk | 3,400,348 | 670,704 | 2,109,327 | 6,180,378 | 121,378 | 886,560 | 1,405,656 | 67,180 | 1,453,909 | 436,775 | 145,114 | 10,696,949 |
| 12 | Redondo Beach | 805,958 | 158,972 | 499,958 | 1,464,888 | 31,052 | 243,991 | 333,172 | 32,682 | 344,609 | 103,525 | 34,395 | 2,588,314 |
| 13 | Santa Monica | 21,599,175 | 4,260,343 | 13,398,549 | 39,258,067 | 1,095,506 | 7,215,446 | 8,928,794 | 457,486 | 9,235,299 | 2,774,415 | 921,770 | 69,886,783 |
| 14 | Torrance | 6,824,827 | 1,346,167 | 4,233,624 | 12,404,619 | 310,866 | 3,717,603 | 2,821,287 | 140,463 | 2,918,136 | 876,649 | 291,257 | 23,480,880 |
| 15 | Sub-Total | 106,326,037 | 20,913,173 | 65,770,803 | 193,010,012 | 5,775,304 | 42,371,498 | 43,829,668 | 2,669,112 | 45,334,240 | 13,619,050 | 4,524,784 | 351,133,668 |
| | Eligible Operators: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Antelope Valley | - | - | 5,640,301 | 5,640,301 | 202,892 | 2,109,405 | 2,851,883 | 183,390 | 2,949,781 | 886,156 | 294,416 | 15,118,224 |
| 17 | LADOT | - | - | 23,983,643 | 23,983,643 | 1,392,629 | 7,658,544 | 5,454,803 | 362,859 | 5,642,054 | 1,694,953 | 563,130 | 46,752,615 |
| 18 | Santa Clarita | - | - | 5,093,227 | 5,093,227 | 221,849 | 2,399,593 | 2,575,268 | 187,805 | 2,663,671 | 800,205 | 265,859 | 14,207,477 |
| 19 | Foothill BSCP | - | - | 5,318,480 | 5,318,480 | | 928,624 | 1,209,627 | - | 1,251,151 | 375,863 | 124,877 | 9,208,623 |
| 20 | Sub-Total | | - | 40,035,652 | 40,035,652 | 1,817,370 | 13,096,166 | 12,091,580 | 734,054 | 12,506,657 | 3,757,177 | 1,248,282 | 85,286,939 |
| | Tier 2 Operators: | | | | | .,0,0.0 | 10,000,100 | 12,001,000 | 70.,00 | :=,555,55 | 3,737,777 | 1,210,202 | |
| 21 | LADOT Community Dash | - | - | 4,824,381 | 4,824,381 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4,824,381 |
| 22 | Glendale | - | - | 701,316 | 701,316 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 701,316 |
| 23 | Pasadena | - | - | 348,922 | 348,922 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 348,922 |
| 24 | Burbank | - | - | 125,382 | 125,382 | - | - | - | - | | - | - | 125,382 |
| 25 | Sub-Total | - | - | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6,000,000 |
| 26 | Lynwood Trolley | - | - | - | - | - | 226,796 | - | - | - | - | - | 226,796 |
| 27 | Total Excluding Metro | 106,326,037 | 20,913,173 | 111,806,455 | 239,045,664 | 7,592,674 | 55,694,460 | 55,921,249 | 3,403,166 | 57,840,896 | 17,376,227 | 5,773,066 | 442,647,403 |
| - 1 | County of Los Angeles | 100,020,001 | 20,010,170 | 111,000,700 | 200,040,004 | 1,002,014 | 55,554,400 | 55,521,240 | 0, 100, 100 | 57,540,000 | 11,010,221 | 254,124 | 254,124 |
| - | Grand Total | \$ 402,826,334 | \$ 79,455,736 | \$ 295,919,663 | \$ 778,201,732 | \$ 40,226,951 | \$ 79.063.124 | \$ 178,614,306 | \$10,000 000 | \$ 184,745,722 | \$ 55,500,241 | \$ 18,693,488 | |

BUS TRANSIT FUNDING PERCENTAGE SHARES

| | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Operators | Vehicle Service Miles (VSM) | Passenger | Base | Fare Units | Fare Units Prior to Fare | Fare Units | Sum 50% VSM + | Proposition A | DAR Cap | TDA/STA Share |
| | | (1) | Revenue (\$) (1) | Fare (\$) | | | Used in FAP (2) | 50% Fare | Base Share | Adjustment (3) | |
| | | | | | | decrease | | Units | | | |
| | Included Operators | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Metro Bus Ops.(4) | 72,653,000 | 212,840,000 | \$ 1.75 | 121,622,857 | 197,161,600 | 197,161,600 | 134,907,300 | 73.6795% | 0.0000% | 73.6795% |
| 2 | Arcadia DR | 86,608 | 5,730 | 0.50 | 11,460 | 72,829 | 72,829 | 79,719 | 0.0435% | 0.0000% | 0.0435% |
| 3 | Arcadia MB | 154,997 | 7,192 | 0.50 | 14,384 | | 14,384 | 84,691 | 0.0463% | 0.0000% | 0.0463% |
| 4 | Claremont | 44,600 | 45,600 | 2.50 | 18,240 | 81,840 | 81,840 | 63,220 | 0.0345% | 0.0000% | 0.0345% |
| 5 | Commerce | 426,540 | - | - | - | - | - | 213,270 | 0.1165% | 0.0000% | 0.1165% |
| 6 | Culver City | 1,553,543 | 2,844,747 | 1.00 | 2,844,747 | 3,673,208 | 3,673,208 | 2,613,376 | 1.4273% | 0.0000% | 1.4273% |
| 7 | Foothill | 10,047,408 | 13,444,608 | 1.50 | 8,963,072 | 14,221,000 | 14,221,000 | 12,134,204 | 6.6271% | 0.0000% | 6.6271% |
| 8 | Gardena | 1,610,823 | 2,228,499 | 1.00 | 2,228,499 | 3,703,600 | 3,703,600 | 2,657,212 | 1.4512% | 0.0000% | 1.4512% |
| 9 | La Mirada | 64,692 | 33,988 | 1.00 | 33,988 | | 33,988 | 49,340 | 0.0269% | 0.0000% | 0.0269% |
| 10 | Long Beach | 6,923,461 | 13,769,460 | 1.25 | 11,015,568 | 15,972,456 | 15,972,456 | 11,447,959 | 6.2523% | 0.0000% | 6.2523% |
| 11 | Montebello | 2,180,904 | 4,024,999 | 1.10 | 3,659,090 | 5,855,556 | 5,855,556 | 4,018,230 | 2.1946% | 0.0000% | 2.1946% |
| 12 | Norwalk | 997,113 | 1,155,621 | 1.25 | 924,497 | 2,094,068 | 2,094,068 | 1,545,591 | 0.8441% | 0.0000% | 0.8441% |
| 13 | Redondo Beach DR | 54,042 | 10,980 | 1.00 | 10,980 | | 10,980 | 32,511 | 0.0178% | 0.0000% | 0.0178% |
| 14 | Redondo Beach MB | 366,851 | 300,806 | 1.00 | 300,806 | | 300,806 | 333,829 | 0.1823% | 0.0000% | 0.1823% |
| 15 | Santa Monica | 4,974,000 | 11,603,000 | 1.25 | 9,282,400 | 14,661,333 | 14,661,333 | 9,817,667 | 5.3619% | 0.0000% | 5.3619% |
| | Torrance | 1,694,300 | 2,025,800 | 1.00 | 2,025,800 | 4,510,000 | 4,510,000 | 3,102,150 | 1.6942% | 0.0000% | 1.6942% |
| 17 | Sub-Total | 103,832,882 | 264,341,030 | | 162,956,388 | ,, | 262,367,648 | 183,100,265 | 100.0000% | 0.0000% | 100.0000% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Eligible Operators | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Antelope Valley | 3,166,832 | 4,849,941 | 1.50 | 3,233,294 | 3,543,241 | 3,543,241 | 3,355,037 | 1.7126% | 0.0000% | 1.7126% |
| | Santa Clarita | 2,866,266 | 3,192,972 | 1.00 | 3,192,972 | 0.707.500 | 3,192,972 | 3,029,619 | 1.5465% | 0.0000% | 1.5465% |
| | LADOT Local | 1,695,256 | 3,229,770 | 0.50 | 6,459,540 | 6,727,520 | 6,727,520 | 4,211,388 | 2.1497% | 0.0000% | 2.1497% |
| | LADOT Express Foothill - BSCP | 1,258,765 1,216,905 | 3,220,511 1,505,991 | 1.50 1.50 | 2,147,007 1,003,994 | 3,152,832 1,650,000 | 3,152,832 1,650,000 | 2,205,799 1,433,453 | 1.1260% 0.7264% | 0.0000% 0.0000% | 1.1260% 0.7264% |
| 22 | Sub-Total | 10,204,024 | 15,999,185 | 1.30 | 16,036,807 | 1,000,000 | 18,266,565 | 14,235,295 | 7.2612% | 0.0000% | 7.2612% |
| 23 | Sub-Total | 10,204,024 | 10,000,100 | | 10,000,001 | | 10,200,000 | 17,200,200 | 1.2012/0 | 0.000070 | 1.201270 |
| 24 | Total | 114,036,906 | 280,340,215 | | 178,993,195 | | 280,634,213 | 197,335,560 | | | |
| 24 | Notas | 114,030,300 | 200,040,210 | | 170,993,193 | | 200,034,213 | 191,333,300 | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Operators' statistics exclude BSIP, TSE, Base Restructuring and MOSIP services that are funded from PC 40% Discretionary. Also excluded are services funded from other sources (CRD, FTA, etc.)

⁽²⁾ Fare units used are frozen to the level prior to fare change in accordance with the Funding Stability Policy, adopted by the Board in November 2007.

⁽³⁾ TDA cap of 0.25% is applied for DAR operators - Arcadia, Claremont,La Mirada and Redondo Beach DR.

⁽⁴⁾ MTA Statistics include contracted services with LADOT for Lines 422, 601 and 602 (Consent Decree Lines), Glendale and Palos Verdes Peninsula Transit Authority (PVPTA).

INCLUDED & ELIGIBLE OPERATORS ESTIMATED FUNDING LEVELS

| | | | TD. | A Article 4 plus i | nteres | st | STA | | Proposition A | Total |
|----|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | Operators | TDA & STA | Allocated | Fund Exchange | | Net | Rev Base Share | Prop A Disc % | | Formula |
| | | % Shares | Allocated | Fund Exchang | je | ivet | Plus Interest | Shares | Discretionary (1) | Funds |
| | Included Operators | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Metro Bus Ops | 73.6795% | \$ 296,800,297 | \$ (300,00 | 00) \$ | 296,500,297 | \$ 58,542,563 | 73.6795% | \$ 184,113,208 | \$ 539,156,068 |
| 2 | Arcadia DR | 0.0435% | 175,383 | | | 175,383 | 34,594 | 0.0435% | 108,795 | 318,772 |
| 3 | Arcadia MB | 0.0463% | 186,322 | | | 186,322 | 36,751 | 0.0463% | 115,580 | 338,653 |
| 4 | Claremont | 0.0345% | 139,086 | | | 139,086 | 27,434 | 0.0345% | 86,279 | 252,799 |
| 5 | Commerce | 0.1165% | 469,201 | | | 469,201 | 92,548 | 0.1165% | 291,058 | 852,806 |
| 6 | Culver City | 1.4273% | 5,749,508 | | | 5,749,508 | 1,134,065 | 1.4273% | 3,566,575 | 10,450,148 |
| 7 | Foothill | 6.6271% | 26,695,630 | | | 26,695,630 | 5,265,596 | 6.6271% | 16,560,017 | 48,521,244 |
| 8 | Gardena | 1.4512% | 5,845,949 | | | 5,845,949 | 1,153,088 | 1.4512% | 3,626,399 | 10,625,436 |
| 9 | La Mirada | 0.0269% | 108,550 | | | 108,550 | 21,411 | 0.0269% | 67,336 | 197,297 |
| 10 | Long Beach | 6.2523% | 25,185,868 | 300,00 | 00 | 25,485,868 | 4,967,803 | 6.2523% | 15,623,472 | 46,077,142 |
| 11 | Montebello | 2.1946% | 8,840,232 | | | 8,840,232 | 1,743,697 | 2.1946% | 5,483,834 | 16,067,763 |
| 12 | Norwalk | 0.8441% | 3,400,348 | | | 3,400,348 | 670,704 | 0.8441% | 2,109,327 | 6,180,378 |
| 13 | Redondo Beach DR | 0.0178% | 71,525 | | | 71,525 | 14,108 | 0.0178% | 44,369 | 130,002 |
| 14 | Redondo Beach MB | 0.1823% | 734,433 | | | 734,433 | 144,864 | 0.1823% | 455,589 | 1,334,886 |
| 15 | Santa Monica | 5.3619% | 21,599,175 | | - | 21,599,175 | 4,260,343 | 5.3619% | 13,398,549 | 39,258,067 |
| 16 | Torrance | 1.6942% | 6,824,827 | | | 6,824,827 | 1,346,167 | 1.6942% | 4,233,624 | 12,404,619 |
| 17 | Sub-Total | 100.0000% | 402,826,334 | | - | 402,826,334 | 79,455,736 | 100.0000% | 249,884,011 | 732,166,080 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Eligible Operators | | F | ormula Equivale | nt Fun | nded from Prop | osition A 95% of 40 | 0% Growth over CPI | (2) | |
| 10 | Antelope Valley | 1.7126% | _ | | | | 1,360,766 | 1.7126% | 4,279,535 | \$ 5,640,301 |
| | Santa Clarita | 1.5465% | _ | | | _ | 1,228,780 | 1.5465% | , -, | 5,093,227 |
| | LADOT Local | 2.1497% | 8,659,723 | | | 8,659,723 | 1,708,093 | 2.1497% | | 15,739,675 |
| | LADOT Express | 1.1260% | 4,535,703 | | | 4,535,703 | 894,648 | 1.1260% | , , | 8,243,969 |
| | Foothill - BSCP | 0.7264% | 2,926,145 | | | 2,926,145 | 577,169 | 0.7264% | , , | 5,318,480 |
| 23 | Sub-Total | 7.2612% | 16,121,571 | | _ | 16,121,571 | 5,769,455 | 7.2612% | | 40,035,652 |
| | Total FAP | 1.201270 | \$ 402,826,334 | | \$ | | | 107.2612% | | \$ 772,201,732 |
| 24 | Proposition A Discretionary (95% | / of 409/ \ C== | , , , | | φ | 702,020,334 | Ψ 13,433,130 | 107.2012/0 | Ψ 2-3,00-,011 | Ψ 112,201,132 |
| 25 | Revenue | 6 UI 4U%) Gr | will Over CPI: | | | | | | | \$ 65,268,989 |
| 20 | Uses of Fund: | | | | | | | | | Ţ 00,200,000 |
| 00 | Eligible Operators - Formula Ed | auivoloat Eusak | , | | | | | | | 40,035,652 |
| 26 | Tier 2 Operators | quivalent rund | 5 | | | | | | | 6,000,000 |
| 27 | Total Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | 46,035,652 |
| 28 | | of 400/) COL S | urnlus (Chartfall) | | | | | | | |
| 29 | , , | , | urpius (Snortiali) | | | | | | | 19,233,337 |
| 30 | Backfill from (Transfer to) PC40% | Discretionary | | | | | | | | (19,233,337) |
| | | | | | | | | | | \$ - |

- (1) Prop A Discretionary funds, (95% of 40%) allocated to Included Operators have been capped at 2.28% CPI for FAP allocation.
- (2) Formula Equivalent funds are allocated by formula to Eligible Operators in lieu of Section 9, TDA, STA and Prop A 40% Discretionary funds. Fund source is Prop A 95% of 40% growth over CPI.

Senate Bill 1 - Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017

| | Operators | Measure R %Share ⁽¹⁾ | SB1 - STA Allocation | SB1 - SGR Allocation ⁽²⁾ | Total |
|----|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------|
| | Included Operators: | | | | |
| 1 | Metro Bus Ops | 68.6916% | \$ 38,124,013 | \$ 12,666,297 | \$ 50,790,311 |
| | | | | | |
| 2 | Arcadia | 0.0837% | 46,461 | 15,436 | 61,897 |
| 3 | Claremont | 0.0322% | 17,866 | 5,936 | 23,801 |
| 4 | Commerce | 0.1086% | 60,269 | 20,024 | 80,293 |
| 5 | Culver City | 1.3307% | 738,525 | 245,367 | 983,892 |
| 6 | Foothill | 6.1785% | 3,429,055 | 1,139,267 | 4,568,322 |
| 7 | Gardena | 1.3530% | 750,912 | 249,483 | 1,000,395 |
| 8 | La Mirada | 0.0251% | 13,943 | 4,632 | 18,576 |
| 9 | Long Beach | 5.8290% | 3,235,126 | 1,074,836 | 4,309,962 |
| 10 | Montebello | 2.0460% | 1,135,528 | 377,267 | 1,512,795 |
| 11 | Norwalk | 0.7870% | 436,775 | 145,114 | 581,889 |
| 12 | Redondo Beach DR | 0.0166% | 9,187 | 3,052 | 12,240 |
| 13 | Redondo Beach MB | 0.1700% | 94,338 | 31,343 | 125,681 |
| 14 | Santa Monica | 4.9989% | 2,774,415 | 921,770 | 3,696,185 |
| 15 | Torrance | 1.5795% | 876,649 | 291,257 | 1,167,907 |
| | | | | | |
| | Eligible Operators: | | | | |
| 16 | Antelope Valley | 1.5967% | 886,156 | 294,416 | 1,180,572 |
| 17 | Santa Clarita | 1.4418% | 800,205 | 265,859 | 1,066,064 |
| 18 | LADOT Local | 2.0042% | 1,112,342 | 369,564 | 1,481,906 |
| 19 | LADOT Express | 1.0497% | 582,611 | 193,566 | 776,178 |
| 20 | Foothill BSCP | 0.6772% | 375,863 | 124,877 | 500,740 |
| | | | | | |
| 21 | Total Municipal Operators | 31.3084% | 17,376,227 | 5,773,066 | 23,149,294 |
| 22 | County of Los Angeles | | | 254,124 | 254,124 |
| 23 | Total Funds Allocated | 100.0000% | \$ 55,500,241 | \$ 18,693,488 | \$ 74,193,728 |

- (1) STA and SGR portion of SB1 will be allocated based on Measure R allocation methodology.
- (2) Preliminary estimates. Subject to the submittal of eligible projects.

PROPOSITION C 5% TRANSIT SECURITY FUNDING ALLOCATION

| | Operators | | FY18 Unlinked Passengers | Percent of Total Unlinked Passengers | Total ⁽¹⁾ |
|----|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|
| 1 | Antelope Valley | | 2,442,282 | 0.5044% | \$ 202,892 |
| 2 | Arcadia | | 79,809 | 0.0165% | 6,630 |
| 3 | Claremont | | 31,900 | 0.0066% | 2,650 |
| 4 | Commerce | | 509,461 | 0.1052% | 42,323 |
| 5 | Culver City | | 4,864,138 | 1.0045% | 404,087 |
| 6 | Foothill | | 12,543,650 | 2.5905% | 1,042,060 |
| 7 | Gardena | | 3,086,911 | 0.6375% | 256,444 |
| 8 | LADOT Local/Express | | 16,763,577 | 3.4619% | 1,392,629 |
| 9 | La Mirada | | 42,407 | 0.0088% | 3,523 |
| 10 | Long Beach | | 23,820,716 | 4.9193% | 1,978,899 |
| 11 | Montebello | | 5,776,558 | 1.1929% | 479,886 |
| 12 | Norwalk | | 1,461,068 | 0.3017% | 121,378 |
| 13 | Redondo Beach DR/MB | | 373,790 | 0.0772% | 31,052 |
| 14 | Santa Clarita | | 2,670,472 | 0.5515% | 221,849 |
| 15 | Santa Monica | | 13,187,000 | 2.7233% | 1,095,506 |
| 16 | Torrance | | 3,742,000 | 0.7728% | 310,866 |
| 17 | ; | Sub-Total | 91,395,739 | 18.8746% | 7,592,674 |
| 18 | Metro Bus/Rail Ops ⁽²⁾ | | 392,830,493 | 81.1254% | 32,634,277 |
| 19 | Total | | 484,226,232 | 100.0000% | \$ 40,226,951 |

Notes:

Estimated Revenue: \$ 44,696,612

90% Thereof: \$ 40,226,951

(2) Metro operations data includes unlinked passengers for bus and rail.

⁽¹⁾ Total funding is 90% of Prop C 5% Transit Security:

PROPOSITION C 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS

| | | | MOSIP | | | Foothill | Transit | Discretionary | BSIP | Prop 1B Bri | dge Funding | |
|----|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Operators | Prop A % Share | % Share | \$ Allocation | Zero-fare Compensation (1) | Transit Mitigation | Service Expansion | Base Restructuring | Overcrowding Relief | PTMISEA | SECURITY | Total |
| | INCLUDED OPERATORS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Metro Bus Ops | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$11,223,858 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 12,144,805 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 23,368,663 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Arcadia | 0.0898% | 0.2674% | 68,280 | - | 13,596 | - | - | 22,917 | - | - | 104,793 |
| 3 | Claremont | 0.0345% | 0.1028% | 26,256 | - | 5,294 | - | - | - | 15,138 | 3,436 | 50,124 |
| 4 | Commerce | 0.1165% | 0.3468% | 88,572 | 872,970 | 17,732 | - | 262,281 | - | - | - | 1,241,555 |
| 5 | Culver City | 1.4273% | 4.2502% | 1,085,352 | - | 217,384 | 252,811 | - | 176,666 | 344,025 | 78,097 | 2,154,335 |
| 6 | Foothill | 6.6271% | 19.7342% | 5,039,417 | - | - | 349,912 | 2,099,785 | 977,602 | 1,257,810 | 285,536 | 10,010,062 |
| 7 | Gardena | 1.4512% | 4.3215% | 1,103,558 | - | 220,836 | 726,670 | - | 184,424 | 288,321 | 65,452 | 2,589,260 |
| 8 | La Mirada | 0.0269% | 0.0802% | 20,491 | - | 4,123 | - | - | - | - | - | 24,614 |
| 9 | Long Beach | 6.2523% | 18.6181% | 4,754,414 | - | 951,907 | 2,399,092 | - | 865,966 | 1,088,123 | 247,015 | 10,306,518 |
| 10 | Montebello | 2.1946% | 6.5350% | 1,668,798 | - | 334,214 | - | 1,197,790 | 228,588 | 323,752 | 73,495 | 3,826,638 |
| 11 | Norwalk | 0.8441% | 2.5136% | 641,894 | - | 128,324 | - | - | 59,144 | 46,615 | 10,582 | 886,560 |
| 12 | Redondo Beach DR/MB | 0.2001% | 0.5958% | 152,143 | - | 30,436 | - | - | 4,198 | 46,628 | 10,585 | 243,991 |
| 13 | Santa Monica | 5.3619% | 15.9667% | 4,077,343 | - | 816,279 | - | - | 837,826 | 1,209,442 | 274,556 | 7,215,446 |
| 14 | Torrance | 1.6942% | 5.0451% | 1,288,344 | - | 258,023 | 850,852 | 762,154 | 252,966 | 248,786 | 56,477 | 3,717,603 |
| 15 | Sub-Total | 26.3205% | 78.3775% | 20,014,863 | 872,970 | 2,998,149 | 4,579,337 | 4,322,010 | 3,610,297 | 4,868,640 | 1,105,232 | 42,371,498 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ELIGIBLE OPERATORS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Antelope Valley | 1.7126% | 5.0998% | 1,302,315 | - | 46,261 | 396,211 | - | 50,287 | 256,175 | 58,155 | 2,109,405 |
| 17 | Santa Clarita | 1.5465% | 4.6052% | 1,175,999 | - | 42,606 | 207,230 | - | 53,790 | 749,763 | 170,204 | 2,399,593 |
| 18 | LADOT Local/Express | 3.2757% | 9.7544% | 2,490,941 | - | 465,544 | 2,846,487 | - | 157,670 | 1,383,771 | 314,131 | 7,658,544 |
| 19 | Foothill BSCP | 0.7264% | 2.1631% | 552,377 | - | - | - | - | - | 306,637 | 69,610 | 928,624 |
| 20 | Sub-Total | 7.2612% | 21.6225% | 5,521,633 | - | 554,410 | 3,449,928 | - | 261,748 | 2,696,347 | 612,100 | 13,096,166 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | City of Lynwood Trolley | | | | | | 226,796 | - | - | | | 226,796 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Total Municipal Operators | 33.5818% | 100.0000% | 25,536,495 | 872,970 | 3,552,560 | 8,256,062 | 4,322,010 | 3,872,045 | 7,564,987 | 1,717,331 | 55,694,460 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Total | 33.5818% | 100.0000% | \$25,536,495 | \$ 872,970 | \$14,776,417 | \$8,256,062 | \$ 4,322,010 | \$ 16,016,851 | \$ 7,564,987 | \$ 1,717,331 | \$ 79,063,124 |

| Last Year | \$24,792,714 | \$8,072,020 | \$ 4,225,665 | \$ ' | 15,659,807 |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|
| % Increase (2) | 3.00% | 2.280% | 2.280% | | 2.280% |
| Current Year | \$25,536,495 | \$8,256,062 | \$ 4,322,010 | ` \$\$ | 16,016,851 |

⁽¹⁾ Allocated as part of FAP to Commerce as compensation for having zero passenger revenues.

⁽²⁾ CPI of 2.28% is applied to Proposition C Discretionary programs: Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program. Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) receives 3% increase from FY2019 allocation.

Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs FISCAL YEAR 2020

| | | Zero | -fare Compen | sation | Foothill | Transit Mitigati | on | Total |
|----|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | Operators | FY18 ⁽¹⁾ | FY20 | Total FY20 allocation | FY18 ⁽¹⁾ | FY20 | Total FY20 allocation | Carryover from FY18 |
| | INCLUDED OPERATORS | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Metro Bus Ops | | | | 366,644 | 10,857,213 | 11,223,858 | 366,644 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Arcadia | | | | 364 | 13,231 | 13,596 | 364 |
| 3 | Claremont | | | | 206 | 5,088 | 5,294 | 206 |
| 4 | Commerce | 20,163 | 852,806 | 872,970 | 568 | 17,164 | 17,732 | 20,731 |
| 5 | Culver City | | | | 7,062 | 210,322 | 217,384 | 7,062 |
| 6 | Foothill | | | | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | Gardena | | | | 6,986 | 213,850 | 220,836 | 6,986 |
| 8 | La Mirada | | | | 152 | 3,971 | 4,123 | 152 |
| 9 | Long Beach | | | | 30,586 | 921,321 | 951,907 | 30,586 |
| 10 | Montebello | | | | 10,831 | 323,383 | 334,214 | 10,831 |
| 11 | Norwalk | | | | 3,937 | 124,388 | 128,324 | 3,937 |
| 12 | Redondo Beach DR/MB | | | | 953 | 29,483 | 30,436 | 953 |
| 13 | Santa Monica | | | | 26,163 | 790,117 | 816,279 | 26,163 |
| 14 | Torrance | | | | 8,365 | 249,658 | 258,023 | 8,365 |
| 15 | Sub-Total | 20,163 | 852,806 | 872,970 | 96,174 | 2,901,975 | 2,998,149 | 116,337 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | ELIGIBLE OPERATORS | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Antelope Valley | | | | 7,478 | 38,783 | 46,261 | 7,478 |
| 17 | Santa Clarita | | | | 7,585 | 35,021 | 42,606 | 7,585 |
| 18 | LADOT Local/Express | | | | 15,286 | 450,258 | 465,544 | 15,286 |
| 19 | Foothill BSCP | | | | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | Sub-Total | | | | 30,349 | 524,061 | 554,410 | 30,349 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Total Municipal Operators | 20,163 | 852,806 | 872,970 | 126,523 | 3,426,037 | 3,552,560 | 126,523 |
| 23 | Total | 20,163 | 852,806 | \$ 872,970 | 493,167 | 14,283,250 | 14,776,417 | 513,331 |

⁽¹⁾ FY18 Transit Fund allocations were amended, resulting in additional allocations of \$513,331 to Foothill Transit Mitigation and Zero-fare Compensation funds recipients.

BRIDGE FUNDING FOR PROPOSITION 1B PTMISEA FUND

Allocation Basis - FY15

| | | [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | [F] | [G] |
|----|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|--|----------------|----------------|--|
| | | | | | (C-A) | | (A+E) | ([E] /3) |
| | Operators | PTMISEA FUND | FY15 STA % Share | FAP Allocation | FAP Allocation Over (Under) STA Allocation | Bridge Funding | Total Funds | FY20 Bridge Funding (3rd of 3 Installments) (1) |
| | Included Operators | • | | | | | | |
| 1 | Arcadia | \$ 132,924 | 0.0891% | \$ 117,917 | \$ (15,007) | \$ - | \$ 132,924 | \$ - |
| 2 | Claremont | 40,609 | 0.0650% | 86,023 | 45,414 | 45,414 | 86,023 | 15,138 |
| 3 | Commerce | 282,048 | 0.0921% | 121,887 | (160,161) | - | 282,048 | - |
| 4 | Culver City | 873,391 | 1.4398% | 1,905,465 | 1,032,074 | 1,032,074 | 1,905,465 | 344,025 |
| 5 | Foothill | 4,323,936 | 6.1185% | 8,097,366 | 3,773,430 | 3,773,430 | 8,097,366 | 1,257,810 |
| 6 | Gardena | 1,014,034 | 1.4198% | 1,878,996 | 864,962 | 864,962 | 1,878,996 | 288,321 |
| 7 | La Mirada | 107,067 | 0.0333% | 44,070 | (62,997) | - | 107,067 | - |
| 8 | Long Beach | 4,904,330 | 6.1724% | 8,168,698 | 3,264,368 | 3,264,368 | 8,168,698 | 1,088,123 |
| 9 | Montebello | 2,004,725 | 2.2487% | 2,975,982 | 971,257 | 971,257 | 2,975,982 | 323,752 |
| 10 | Metro Bus Ops | 103,154,440 | 74.1778% | 98,168,631 | (4,985,809) | - | 103,154,440 | - |
| 11 | Norwalk | 946,553 | 0.8209% | 1,086,398 | 139,845 | 139,845 | 1,086,398 | 46,615 |
| 12 | Redondo Beach | 120,697 | 0.1969% | 260,582 | 139,885 | 139,885 | 260,582 | 46,628 |
| 13 | Santa Monica | 3,529,674 | 5.4087% | 7,158,000 | 3,628,326 | 3,628,326 | 7,158,000 | 1,209,442 |
| 14 | Torrance | 1,525,960 | 1.7170% | 2,272,318 | 746,358 | 746,358 | 2,272,318 | 248,786 |
| 15 | Sub-Total | 122,960,388 | 100.0000% | 132,342,333 | 9,381,945 | 14,605,919 | 137,566,307 | 4,868,640 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Eligible Operators | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Antelope Valley | 1,265,840 | 1.5372% | 2,034,366 | 768,526 | 768,526 | 2,034,366 | 256,175 |
| 17 | Santa Clarita | - | 1.6996% | 2,249,290 | 2,249,290 | 2,249,290 | 2,249,290 | 749,763 |
| 18 | City of Los Angeles | - | 3.1368% | 4,151,314 | 4,151,314 | 4,151,314 | 4,151,314 | 1,383,771 |
| 19 | Foothill BSCP | - | 0.6951% | 919,912 | 919,912 | 919,912 | 919,912 | 306,637 |
| 20 | Sub-Total | 1,265,840 | 7.0687% | 9,354,882 | 8,089,042 | 8,089,042 | 9,354,882 | 2,696,347 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Total Municipal Operators | 124,226,228 | 107.0687% | 141,697,215 | 17,470,987 | 22,694,961 | 146,921,189 | 7,564,987 |
| 22 | SCRRA | 8,116,105 | - | - | - | - | 8,116,105 | - |
| 23 | Grand Total | \$ 132,342,333 | 107.0687% | \$ 141,697,215 | \$ 17,470,987 | \$ 22,694,961 | \$ 155,037,294 | \$ 7,564,987 |

⁽¹⁾ The final appropriation of Prop 1B PTMISEA fund was made in FY 2014-15 state budget; therefore, FY20 will be the last year of prop 1B Bridge Funding.

BRIDGE FUNDING FOR PROPOSITION 1B SECURITY FUND

Allocation Basis - FY15

| | | [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | [F] |
|----|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | (C-A) | | (A+E) |
| | Operators | SECURITY FUND | FY15 STA % Share | FAP Allocation | FAP Allocation Over (Under) STA Allocation | FY20 Bridge Funding (1) | Total |
| | Included Operators | | | | | | |
| 1 | Arcadia | \$ 10,058 | 0.0891% | \$ 8,923 | \$ (1,136) | \$ - | \$ 10,058 |
| 2 | Claremont | 3,073 | 0.0650% | 6,509 | 3,436 | 3,436 | 6,509 |
| 3 | Commerce | 21,343 | 0.0921% | 9,223 | (12,119) | - | 21,343 |
| 4 | Culver City | 66,090 | 1.4398% | 144,187 | 78,097 | 78,097 | 144,187 |
| 5 | Foothill | 327,193 | 6.1185% | 612,729 | 285,536 | 285,536 | 612,729 |
| 6 | Gardena | 76,732 | 1.4198% | 142,184 | 65,452 | 65,452 | 142,184 |
| 7 | La Mirada | 8,102 | 0.0333% | 3,335 | (4,767) | - | 8,102 |
| 8 | Long Beach | 371,112 | 6.1724% | 618,127 | 247,015 | 247,015 | 618,127 |
| 9 | Montebello | 151,698 | 2.2487% | 225,193 | 73,495 | 73,495 | 225,193 |
| 10 | Metro Bus Ops | 7,805,715 | 74.1778% | 7,428,438 | (377,277) | - | 7,805,715 |
| 11 | Norwalk | 71,626 | 0.8209% | 82,208 | 10,582 | 10,582 | 82,208 |
| 12 | Redondo Beach | 9,133 | 0.1969% | 19,718 | 10,585 | 10,585 | 19,718 |
| 13 | Santa Monica | 267,091 | 5.4087% | 541,647 | 274,556 | 274,556 | 541,647 |
| 14 | Torrance | 115,470 | 1.7170% | 171,947 | 56,477 | 56,477 | 171,947 |
| 15 | Sub-Total | 9,304,435 | 100.0000% | 10,014,368 | 709,933 | 1,105,232 | 10,409,667 |
| | Eligible Operators | | | | | | |
| 16 | Antelope Valley | 95,786 | 1.5372% | 153,941 | 58,155 | 58,155 | 153,941 |
| 17 | Santa Clarita | - | 1.6996% | 170,204 | 170,204 | 170,204 | 170,204 |
| 18 | City of Los Angeles | - | 3.1368% | 314,131 | 314,131 | 314,131 | 314,131 |
| 19 | Foothill BSCP | - | 0.6951% | 69,610 | 69,610 | 69,610 | 69,610 |
| 20 | Sub-Total | 95,786 | 7.0687% | 707,886 | 612,100 | 612,100 | 707,886 |
| 21 | Total Municipal Operators | 9,400,221 | 107.0687% | 10,722,254 | 1,322,033 | 1,717,331 | 11,117,552 |
| 22 | SCRRA | 614,147 | - | - | - | - | 614,147 |
| 23 | Grand Total | \$ 10,014,368 | 107.0687% | \$ 10,722,254 | \$ 1,322,033 | \$ 1,717,331 | \$ 11,731,700 |

⁽¹⁾ The final appropriation of Prop 1B Security fund was made in FY 2014-15 state budget; therefore, FY20 will be the last year of Prop 1B Bridge Funding.

MEASURE R 20% BUS OPERATIONS AND CAPITAL ALLOCATIONS

| | | 20 | % Bus Operatio | ns | Clean Fuel Bus Capita Rolling Stock F | |
|----|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------|
| | Operators | Proposition A Base Share % | MR Percentage Share | Bus Operations Allocation | Federal Section 5307 Capital Allocation Formula Share | \$ Allocation |
| | Included Operators: | | | | | |
| 1 | Metro Bus Ops | 73.6795% | 68.6916% | \$122,693,057 | 65.9683% | \$ 6,596,834 |
| 2 | Arcadia | 0.0898% | 0.0837% | 149,524 | 0.1741% | 17,409 |
| 3 | Claremont | 0.0345% | 0.0322% | 57,496 | 0.0578% | 5,779 |
| 4 | Commerce | 0.1165% | 0.1086% | 193,961 | 0.3305% | 33,048 |
| 5 | Culver City | 1.4273% | 1.3307% | 2,376,766 | 1.4177% | 141,775 |
| 6 | Foothill | 6.6271% | 6.1785% | 11,035,597 | 8.3828% | 838,277 |
| 7 | Gardena | 1.4512% | 1.3530% | 2,416,633 | 1.2366% | 123,656 |
| 8 | La Mirada | 0.0269% | 0.0251% | 44,873 | 0.0643% | 6,427 |
| 9 | Long Beach | 6.2523% | 5.8290% | 10,411,483 | 6.1803% | 618,031 |
| 10 | Montebello | 2.1946% | 2.0460% | 3,654,427 | 1.8690% | 186,899 |
| 11 | Norwalk | 0.8441% | 0.7870% | 1,405,656 | 0.6718% | 67,180 |
| 12 | Redondo Beach DR | 0.0178% | 0.0166% | 29,568 | 0.3268% | 32,682 |
| 13 | Redondo Beach MB | 0.1823% | 0.1700% | 303,604 | 0.3200% | 32,002 |
| 14 | Santa Monica | 5.3619% | 4.9989% | 8,928,794 | 4.5749% | 457,486 |
| 15 | Torrance | 1.6942% | 1.5795% | 2,821,287 | 1.4046% | 140,463 |
| | Eligible Operators: | | | | | |
| 16 | Antelope Valley | 1.7126% | 1.5967% | 2,851,883 | 1.8339% | 183,390 |
| 17 | Santa Clarita | 1.5465% | 1.4418% | 2,575,268 | 1.8780% | 187,805 |
| 18 | LADOT Local | 2.1497% | 2.0042% | 3,579,807 | 3.6286% | 362,859 |
| 19 | LADOT Express | 1.1260% | 1.0497% | 1,874,996 | 3.0200% | 302,039 |
| 20 | Foothill BSCP | 0.7264% | 0.6772% | 1,209,627 | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | Total Municipal Operators | 33.5818% | 31.3084% | 55,921,249 | 34.0317% | 3,403,166 |
| 23 | Total Funds Allocated | 107.2612% | 100.0000% | \$178,614,306 | 100.0000% | \$ 10,000,000 |

⁽¹⁾ Clean Fuel Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Funds of \$10M will be allocated every even fiscal year.

MEASURE M 20% TRANSIT OPERATIONS

(Metro and Municipal Providers)

| | Operators | Measure M ⁽¹⁾ Percentage Share | \$ Allocation |
|----|---------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Included Operators: | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | Metro Bus Ops | 68.6916% | \$ 126,904,826 |
| 2 | Arcadia | 0.0837% | 154,657 |
| 3 | Claremont | 0.0322% | 59,470 |
| 4 | Commerce | 0.1086% | 200,619 |
| 5 | Culver City | 1.3307% | 2,458,354 |
| 6 | Foothill | 6.1785% | 11,414,423 |
| 7 | Gardena | 1.3530% | 2,499,590 |
| 8 | La Mirada | 0.0251% | 46,413 |
| 9 | Long Beach | 5.8290% | 10,768,885 |
| 10 | Montebello | 2.0460% | 3,779,875 |
| 11 | Norwalk | 0.7870% | 1,453,909 |
| 12 | Redondo Beach DR | 0.0166% | 30,583 |
| 13 | Redondo Beach MB | 0.1700% | 314,026 |
| 14 | Santa Monica | 4.9989% | 9,235,299 |
| 15 | Torrance | 1.5795% | 2,918,136 |
| | Eligible Operators: | | |
| 16 | Antelope Valley | 1.5967% | 2,949,781 |
| 17 | Santa Clarita | 1.4418% | 2,663,671 |
| 18 | LADOT Local | 2.0042% | 3,702,694 |
| 19 | LADOT Express | 1.0497% | 1,939,360 |
| 20 | Foothill BSCP | 0.6772% | 1,251,151 |
| | | | |
| 21 | Total Municipal Operators | 31.3084% | 57,840,896 |
| | | | |
| 22 | Total Funds Allocated | 100.0000% | \$ 184,745,722 |

Notes:

(1) Metro follows Measure R allocation methodology for Measure M transit operations.

TIER 2 OPERATORS ESTIMATED FUNDING LEVELS

| | % Shares Calculation | Vehicle Service Miles | Passenger Revenue | Base Fare | Fare Units (1) | 50% VSM + 50% Fare Units | Adjustment (2) | % Share |
|----|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1 | LADOT Community Dash | 2,594,003 | \$ 3,429,875 | \$ 0.50 | 16,808,232 | 9,701,118 | - | 4.6299% |
| 2 | Glendale | 632,761 | 709,712 | 1.00 | 2,187,836 | 1,410,299 | - | 0.6731% |
| 3 | Pasadena | 672,330 | 742,520 | 0.75 | 990,027 | 831,178 | - | 0.3967% |
| 4 | Burbank | 309,680 | 194,459 | 1.00 | 194,459 | 252,070 | - | 0.1203% |
| 5 | Sub-Total | 4,208,774 | 5,076,566 | | 20,180,554 | 12,194,664 | | 5.8200% |
| 6 | Included and Eligible Operators | 114,036,906 | 280,340,215 | | 178,993,195 | 197,335,560 | - | 94.1800% |
| 7 | Total | 118,245,680 | \$ 285,416,781 | | 199,173,749 | 209,530,223 | - | 100.0000% |
| Ī | | | | TDA Article 4 | STA Revenue Base | Proposition A | | |
| | | | % Share | + Interest | Share + Interest | Discretionary | | Total |
| 8 | Funds Allocated to Included Operate | ors | | \$402,826,334 | \$ 79,455,736 | \$ 249,884,011 | \$ - | \$732,166,080 |
| Ī | Formula Equivalent Calculation | | | | | | | |
| 9 | LADOT Community Dash | | 4.6299% | \$ 18,650,606 | \$ 3,678,751 | \$ 11,569,472 | \$ - | \$ 33,898,829 |
| 10 | Glendale | | 0.6731% | 2,711,329 | 534,798 | 1,681,910 | - | 4,928,037 |
| 11 | Pasadena | | 0.3967% | 1,597,958 | 315,190 | 991,256 | - | 2,904,405 |
| 12 | Burbank | | 0.1203% | 484,609 | 95,587 | 300,616 | - | 880,812 |
| 13 | Total | | 5.8200% | \$ 23,444,502 | \$ 4,624,326 | \$ 14,543,255 | \$ - | \$ 42,612,083 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Funds Allocated to Tier 2 Operate | ors | 14.08% (3) | | | | | |
| | Actual Allocation | | | | | | | |
| 14 | LADOT Community Dash | | | \$ 2,626,101 | \$ 517,987 | | \$ 51,252 | \$ 4,824,381 |
| 15 | Glendale | | | 381,769 | 75,302 | 236,822 | 7,423 | \$ 701,316 |
| 16 | Pasadena | | | 225,001 | 44,380 | 139,574 | (60,033) | \$ 348,922 |
| 17 | Burbank | | | 68,235 | 13,459 | 42,328 | 1,359 | \$ 125,382 |
| 18 | Total | | | \$ 3,301,106 | \$ 651,129 | \$ 2,047,765 | \$ - | \$ 6,000,000 |

| | | | | | | 1 | Net Prop A |
|----|------------------------------|----|--------------|----|---------------|----|------------|
| | | Ве | fore Tier 2 | (| OI Allocation | | Incentive |
| | Prop A Incentive Allocation: | GO | I Allocation | | Deduction | | Allocation |
| 19 | LADOT Community Dash | \$ | 1,333,095 | \$ | (187,707) | \$ | 1,145,389 |
| 21 | Glendale | | 323,780 | | (45,590) | | 278,190 |
| 22 | Pasadena | | 303,676 | | (42,759) | | 260,917 |
| 23 | Burbank | | 132,427 | | (18,646) | | 113,781 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Total | \$ | 2,092,978 | \$ | (294,702) | \$ | 1,798,276 |

- (1) Funding Stability Policy is applied on LADOT and Glendale Fare Units.
- (2) Due to Pasadena's revised FY17 TPM data, adjustment has been made to FY20 allocations.
- (3) This percentage is applied as a deduction from Tier 2 Operators' Incentive Program allocations.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY 2020 Transit Fund Allocations **Bus Transit Subsidies** FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS REVENUE ESTIMATES

Los Angeles County Share of Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim UZA

| 1 Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Grants | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Estimated Revenue | <u>-</u> | | \$ 245,731,656 |
| | Estimated Revenue | Ф - 045-704-050 | |
| 2 | Off the Top: | \$ 245,731,656 | |
| 3 | 1% Enhancement Allocation | (2,457,317) | |
| 4 | | \$ 243,274,339 | |
| 5 | 85% Formula Allocation | \$ 206,783,189 | |
| 6 | 15% Discretionary Allocation | 36,491,151 | |
| 7 | , | \$ 243,274,339 | |
| Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities Formula | Crento | | |
| 8 Estimated Revenue | <u>Grants.</u> | | \$ 26,975,868 |
| Section 5337 State of Good Repair (LA Count | y Share of LA UZA 2): | | |
| High Intensity Fixed Guideway: | | | |
| 9 Directional Route Miles (DRM) Generate | | \$ 34,117,857 | |
| 10 Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) Generated | d | 59,836,696 | |
| 11 | | \$ 93,954,553 | |
| High Intensity Motorbus: | | | |
| 12 Directional Route Miles (DRM) Generate | | \$ 2,646,573 | |
| Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) Generated | d | 3,230,998 | |
| 14 | | \$ 5,877,571 | |
| Section 5337 State of Good Repair Total I | Estimated Revenue | | \$ 99,832,124 |
| 16 Total Federal Formula Funds Available | | | \$ 372,539,648 |

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS

| | | Urbanized F | ormula Program (| Section 5307) | Bus & B | us Facilities (Secti | on 5339) | State of | Good Repair (Sec | etion 5337) | |
|----|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | Operators | FY19 \$Allocation | Fund Exchanges | Adjusted \$ Allocation | FY19 \$Allocation | Fund Exchange | Adjusted \$ Allocation | FY19 \$Allocation | Fund Exchange | Adjusted \$ Allocation | Total |
| | Included Operators: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Metro Bus Ops | \$159,116,284 | \$ (13,497,952) | \$ 145,618,332 | \$ 18,316,692 | \$ 8,659,176 | \$ 26,975,868 | \$94,693,348 | \$ 5,138,776 | \$ 99,832,124 | \$ 272,426,324 |
| | Municipal Operators | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Municipal Operators: Arcadia | 370,538 | 40 220 | 418,876 | 48,338 | (40.220) | | | | | 440.076 |
| 2 | | , | 48,338 | , | · · | (48,338) | - | - | - | - | 418,876 |
| 3 | Claremont | 123,006 | 16,047 | 139,053 | 16,047 | (16,047) | - | - | - | - | 139,053 |
| 4 | Commerce | 703,400 | 91,762 | 795,162 | 91,762 | (91,762) | - | - | - | - | 795,162 |
| 5 | Culver City | 4,916,885 | 393,651 | 5,310,535 | 393,651 | (393,651) | - | - | (0.550.554) | - | 5,310,535 |
| 6 | Foothill Transit | 21,214,226 | 5,900,122 | 27,114,348 | 2,327,551 | (2,327,551) | - | 3,572,571 | (3,572,571) | - | 27,114,348 |
| 7 | Gardena | 6,602,488 | 343,341 | 6,945,830 | 343,341 | (343,341) | - | - | - | - | 6,945,830 |
| 8 | La Mirada | 136,786 | 17,844 | 154,631 | 17,844 | (17,844) | - | - | - | - | 154,631 |
| 9 | Long Beach | 15,218,453 | 1,583,799 | 16,802,253 | 1,716,018 | (1,716,018) | - | 167,781 | (167,781) | - | 16,802,253 |
| 10 | Montebello | 3,977,934 | 518,941 | 4,496,875 | 518,941 | (518,941) | - | - | - | - | 4,496,875 |
| 11 | Norwalk | 2,595,176 | 186,532 | 2,781,707 | 186,532 | (186,532) | - | - | - | - | 2,781,707 |
| 12 | Redondo Beach | 695,592 | 90,743 | 786,335 | 90,743 | (90,743) | - | - | - | - | 786,335 |
| 13 | Santa Monica | 13,483,688 | 1,351,731 | 14,835,419 | 1,270,249 | (1,270,249) | - | 81,482 | (81,482) | - | 14,835,419 |
| 14 | Torrance | 3,525,221 | 390,008 | 3,915,230 | 390,008 | (390,008) | - | - | - | - | 3,915,230 |
| 15 | Sub-Total | 73,563,395 | 10,932,859 | 84,496,255 | 7,411,026 | (7,411,026) | - | 3,821,834 | (3,821,834) | - | 84,496,255 |
| | Eligible Operators: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Antelope Valley | 243,694 | 590,111 | 833,804 | 31,791 | (31,791) | - | 558,320 | (558,320) | - | 833,804 |
| 17 | LADOT | 11,207,353 | 1,766,133 | 12,973,485 | 1,007,510 | (1,007,510) | _ | 758,622 | (758,622) | - | 12,973,485 |
| 18 | Santa Clarita | 1,600,931 | 208,849 | 1,809,780 | 208,849 | (208,849) | _ | | (100,022) | _ | 1,809,780 |
| 19 | Foothill BSCP | -,000,001 | - | -,000,700 | - | (200,010) | _ | _ | _ | _ | -,000,100 |
| 20 | Sub-Total | 13,051,978 | 2,565,092 | 15,617,070 | 1,248,151 | (1,248,151) | | 1,316,942 | (1,316,942) | _ | 15,617,070 |
| 20 | Cas Total | 10,001,070 | 2,000,002 | 10,011,010 | 1,210,101 | (1,210,101) | | 1,010,042 | (1,010,042) | | 10,017,070 |
| 21 | Total Excluding Metro | 86,615,373 | 13,497,952 | 100,113,325 | 8,659,176 | (8,659,176) | - | 5,138,776 | (5,138,776) | - | 100,113,325 |
| 22 | Grand Total | \$245,731,657 | \$ - | \$ 245,731,657 | \$ 26,975,868 | \$ - | \$ 26,975,868 | \$99,832,124 | \$ - | \$ 99,832,124 | \$ 372,539,649 |

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

CAPITAL ALLOCATION % SHARE CALCULATION

| | | | MILEAGE CAL | CULATION | | | | ACTIVE FL | EET CALC | ULATION | | |
|----|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | OPERATOR | Local Vehicle Miles [Input] | Express Vehicle Miles [Input] | Total Miles Weighted 60% Local/ 40% Express | 1/3 Weight | Active Fleet (1) [Input] | Peak Bus Fixed Route (2) [Input] | Allowable Peak Bus (Peak+20%) | DAR Seats (3) [Input] | Bus Eqvt. (44 Seats per Bus) | Total Active Vehicle | 1/3 Weight |
| 1 | Antelope Valley | 2,434,273 | 1,141,092 | 1,917,001 | 0.7802% | 75 | 61 | 73.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 73.2 | 0.6446% |
| 2 | Arcadia DR | 101,391 | - | 60,835 | 0.0248% | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 184 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 0.0368% |
| 3 | Arcadia MB | 179,225 | - | 107,535 | 0.0438% | 8 | 6 | 7.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 0.0634% |
| 4 | Claremont | 55,900 | - | 33,540 | 0.0137% | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 204 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 0.0408% |
| 5 | Commerce | 482,465 | - | 289,479 | 0.1178% | 18 | 14 | 16.8 | 48 | 1.1 | 17.9 | 0.1575% |
| 6 | Culver City | 1,850,075 | - | 1,110,045 | 0.4518% | 56 | 44 | 52.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 52.8 | 0.4649% |
| 7 | Foothill | 10,433,630 | 6,972,134 | 9,049,032 | 3.6828% | 356 | 296 | 355.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 355.2 | 3.1278% |
| 8 | Gardena | 1,752,887 | - | 1,051,732 | 0.4280% | 58 | 43 | 51.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 51.6 | 0.4544% |
| 9 | LADOT | 2,863,091 | 2,530,745 | 2,730,153 | 1.1111% | 198 | 170 | 198.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 198.0 | 1.7435% |
| 10 | La Mirada | 72,021 | - | 43,213 | 0.0176% | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 208 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 0.0416% |
| 11 | Long Beach | 8,001,768 | - | 4,801,061 | 1.9539% | 259 | 197 | 236.4 | 40 | 0.9 | 237.3 | 2.0897% |
| 12 | Montebello | 2,422,854 | 77,999 | 1,484,912 | 0.6043% | 72 | 67 | 72.0 | 40 | 0.9 | 72.9 | 0.6420% |
| 13 | Metro Bus Ops. | 82,943,000 | 5,382,000 | 51,918,600 | 21.1299% | 2,425 | 1,933 | 2,319.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,319.6 | 20.4256% |
| 14 | Norwalk | 1,087,204 | - | 652,322 | 0.2655% | 33 | 24 | 28.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 28.8 | 0.2536% |
| 15 | Redondo Beach | 478,564 | - | 287,138 | 0.1169% | 20 | 14 | 16.8 | 75 | 1.7 | 18.5 | 0.1629% |
| 16 | Santa Clarita | 2,254,312 | 1,090,941 | 1,788,964 | 0.7281% | 83 | 69 | 82.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 82.8 | 0.7291% |
| 17 | Santa Monica | 5,330,000 | 361,000 | 3,342,400 | 1.3603% | 199 | 166 | 199.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 199.0 | 1.7523% |
| 18 | Torrance | 1,646,700 | 619,300 | 1,235,740 | 0.5029% | 56 | 48 | 56.0 | 48 | 1.1 | 57.1 | 0.5027% |
| 19 | TOTAL | 124,389,360 | 18,175,211 | 81,903,700 | 33.3333% | 3,916 | 3,152 | 3,766.2 | 847 | 19.3 | 3,785.5 | 33.3333% |

Notes:

Include only MTA Funded Programs:

⁽¹⁾ Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode MB), Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet". LADOT's total active vehicles is reported separately.

⁽²⁾ Source: NTD Report Form S-10 "Service Non-Rail (Mode MB), Vehicles Operated in Annual Maximum Service". LADOT's figure is from TPM excluding Community Dash.

⁽³⁾ Source: NTD Report Form A-30 "Vehicle Inventory Report (Mode DR), Seating Capacity". Redondo Beach's Seating Capacity is apportioned between FAP and non-FAP vehicles.

CAPITAL ALLOCATION % SHARE CALCULATION (Continued)

| | | | FAR | E UNITS | | UNLINKED PA | ASSENGERS | | Re-Allocate | |
|----|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | OPERATOR | Passenger Revenue [Input] | Base Fare \$ [Input] | Fare Units | 1/2 of 1/3 Weight | Unlinked Passengers [Input] | 1/2 of 1/3 Weight | Gross Formula Share | AVT A And Santa Clarita's Non-LA2 UZA Share | LA UZA 2 Net Formula Share |
| 1 | Antelope Valley | \$4,866,537 | \$ 1.50 | 3,244,358 | 0.2977% | 2,442,282 | 0.1115% | 1.8339% | -1.7161% | 0.1178% |
| 2 | Arcadia DR | 6,163 | 0.50 | 12,326 | 0.0011% | 31,263 | 0.0014% | 0.0641% | 0.0019% | 0.0660% |
| 3 | Arcadia MB | 6,244 | 0.50 | 12,488 | 0.0011% | 35,965 | 0.0016% | 0.1100% | 0.0032% | 0.1132% |
| 4 | Claremont | 50,700 | 2.50 | 20,280 | 0.0019% | 31,900 | 0.0015% | 0.0578% | 0.0017% | 0.0595% |
| 5 | Commerce (1) | - | - | 347,430 | 0.0319% | 509,461 | 0.0233% | 0.3305% | 0.0097% | 0.3402% |
| 6 | Culver City | 3,041,100 | 1.00 | 3,041,100 | 0.2790% | 4,864,138 | 0.2220% | 1.4177% | 0.0415% | 1.4593% |
| 7 | Foothill | 16,343,391 | 1.50 | 10,895,594 | 0.9996% | 12,543,650 | 0.5726% | 8.3828% | 0.2455% | 8.6283% |
| 8 | Gardena | 2,324,257 | 1.00 | 2,324,257 | 0.2132% | 3,086,911 | 0.1409% | 1.2366% | 0.0362% | 1.2728% |
| 9 | LADOT | 6,764,281 | 1.50 | 4,509,521 | 0.4137% | 7,891,383 | 0.3602% | 3.6286% | 0.1063% | 3.7349% |
| 10 | La Mirada | 33,988 | 1.00 | 33,988 | 0.0031% | 42,407 | 0.0019% | 0.0643% | 0.0019% | 0.0661% |
| 11 | Long Beach | 14,297,103 | 1.25 | 11,437,682 | 1.0494% | 23,820,716 | 1.0873% | 6.1803% | 0.1810% | 6.3613% |
| 12 | Montebello | 4,303,782 | 1.10 | 3,912,529 | 0.3590% | 5,776,558 | 0.2637% | 1.8690% | 0.0547% | 1.9237% |
| 13 | Metro Bus Ops. | 219,524,000 | 1.75 | 125,442,286 | 11.5090% | 282,691,000 | 12.9038% | 65.9683% | 1.9319% | 67.9003% |
| 14 | Norwalk | 1,219,874 | 1.25 | 975,899 | 0.0895% | 1,384,111 | 0.0632% | 0.6718% | 0.0197% | 0.6915% |
| 15 | Redondo Beach | 326,431 | 1.00 | 326,431 | 0.0299% | 373,790 | 0.0171% | 0.3268% | 0.0096% | 0.3364% |
| 16 | Santa Clarita | 3,258,614 | 1.00 | 3,258,614 | 0.2990% | 2,670,472 | 0.1219% | 1.8780% | -1.1038% | 0.7742% |
| 17 | Santa Monica | 11,721,000 | 1.25 | 9,376,800 | 0.8603% | 13,187,000 | 0.6019% | 4.5749% | 0.1340% | 4.7088% |
| 18 | Torrance | 2,487,000 | 1.00 | 2,487,000 | 0.2282% | 3,742,000 | 0.1708% | 1.4046% | 0.0411% | 1.4458% |
| 19 | TOTAL | \$290,574,465 | | 181,658,583 | 16.6667% | 365,125,007 | 16.6667% | 100.0000% | 0.0000% | 100.0000% |

Note:

FORM FFA10, SECTION 9 STATISTICS PASSENGER MILES IS USED TO CALCULATE AVTA AND SANTA CLARITA'S RE-ALLOCATION OF CAPITAL MONIES.

| | | AN | ITELOPE VALLE | Y | SANTA CLARITA | | | |
|----|--|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| | | Passenger | | Re-Allocated | Passenger | | Re-Allocated | |
| | | Miles | % | Share | Miles | % | Share | |
| 20 | Non-LA 2 UZA (AV 123 for AVTA, AV 176 for Santa Clarita) | 27,083,967 | 93.5738% | 1.7161% | 11,941,064 | 58.7760% | 1.1038% | |
| 21 | UZA number LA 2 | 1,859,994 | 6.4262% | 0.1178% | 8,375,167 | 41.2240% | 0.7742% | |
| 22 | Total | 28,943,961 | 100.0000% | 1.8339% | 20,316,231 | 100.0000% | 1.8780% | |

⁽¹⁾ Commerce Fare Units are calculated as follows: ((Total Fare Units w/out MTA and Commerce) / (Total Unlinked Passengers w/out MTA and Commerce)) * Commerce Unlinked Passengers.

FEDERAL SECTION 5307 CAPITAL ALLOCATION

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

| (Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | OPERATOR | LAUZA2 NET FORMULA | 85% FORMULA ALLOCATION | 15% DISCRETIONARY ALL | OCATION | | | TOTAL | TDA Fund Exchange | S5339/S5337 Fund Exchange | Total Funds Available |
| | | SHARE | 7 | Project Title | Amount | Project Title | Amount | | | | |
| | Antelope Valley | 0.1178% | \$ 243,694 | | | | | \$ 243,694 | | \$ 590,111 | \$ 833,804 |
| 2 | Arcadia | 0.1792% | 370,538 | | | | | 370,538 | | 48,338 | 418,876 |
| 3 | Claremont | 0.0595% | 123,006 | | | | | 123,006 | | 16,047 | 139,053 |
| 4 | Commerce | 0.3402% | 703,400 | | | | | 703,400 | | 91,762 | 795,162 |
| 5 | Culver City | 1.4593% | 3 (11 / 525 | Facility Capacity Enhancement Project | 1,632,000 | Bus Stop Improvement Project | 267,360 | 4,916,885 | | 393,651 | 5,310,535 |
| 6 | Foothill Transit | 8.6283% | 17,841,812 | 10 CNG Replacement Buses | 3,372,414 | | | 21,214,226 | | 5,900,122 | 27,114,348 |
| 7 | Gardena | 1.2728% | | Gtrans Zero Emission Bus Replacement Project | 3,746,610 | Real Time Information Signage & Amenities | 224,000 | 6,602,488 | | 343,341 | 6,945,830 |
| 8 | LADOT | 3.7349% | 7,723,058 | Propane to Electric Buses | 2,810,943 | Solar Powered Bus Stop Arrival Information Signs | 673,352 | 11,207,353 | | 1,766,133 | 12,973,485 |
| 9 | La Mirada | 0.0661% | 136,786 | | | | | 136,786 | | 17,844 | 154,631 |
| 10 | Long Beach Transit | 6.3613% | 13,154,117 | LBT Bus Fleet Expansion Regional Training | 1,548,336 300,000 | Bus Stop Improvements | 216,000 | 15,218,453 | (1) (300,000) | 1,883,799 | 16,802,253 |
| 11 | Montebello | 1.9237% | 3,977,934 | | | | | 3,977,934 | | 518,941 | 4,496,875 |
| 12 | Metro Bus Ops. | 67.9003% | 140,406,379 | Bus Facilities & Asset Improvements & BEB en Rt charging Infrastructure | 18,095,576 | Division 2 Historic Preservation & Rehabilitation | 614,329 | 159,116,284 | (1) 300,000 | (13,797,952) | 145,618,332 |
| 13 | Norwalk | 0.6915% | | Phase II Route 7 Electric Bus Project Gap Funding | 703,043 | Bus Stop Beacon Replacement & ATI Digital Signs | 462,276 | 2,595,176 | | 186,532 | 2,781,707 |
| 14 | Redondo Beach | 0.3364% | 695,592 | | | | | 695,592 | | 90,743 | 786,335 |
| 15 | Santa Clarita | 0.7742% | 1,600,931 | | | | | 1,600,931 | | 208,849 | 1,809,780 |
| 16 | Santa Monica | 4.7088% | 9,737,078 | Replacement of Buses | 3,746,610 | | | 13,483,688 | | 1,351,731 | 14,835,419 |
| | Torrance | 1.4458% | | Torrance Transit Bus Fleet Expansion | 535,619 | | | 3,525,221 | | 390,008 | 3,915,230 |
| 18 | TOTAL | 100.0000% | \$ 206,783,189 | | \$ 36,491,151 | | \$ 2,457,317 | \$245,731,657 | \$ - | \$ 0 | \$ 245,731,657 |
| | Notes: Total may no | | - | | | | | | | | |

Notes: Total may not add due to rounding.

⁽¹⁾ Last year of Federal Section 5307 15% Discretionary fund allocations to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) through Long Beach Transit. Funds to the SCRTTC will be exchanged with Metro's TDA share.

FEDERAL SECTION 5337 - STATE OF GOOD REPAIR

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

| | LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHARE (UZA 2) | Directional Route Miles (DRM) Allocation | | | | evenue Miles Allocation | (VRM) | Total \$ | Fund Exchange | Net Funds Available ⁽¹⁾ |
|----|----------------------------------|--|----------|---------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| | (ULAZ) | DRM | DRM% | DRM \$Allocation | VRM | VRM% | VRM \$Allocation | | | Available |
| | High Intensity Fixed Guideway: | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Metro (Including Metrolink) | 462.9 | 99.763% | \$ 34,036,974 | 27,318,023 | 98.591% | \$ 58,993,859 | \$ 93,030,833 | \$ 923,720 | \$ 93,954,553 |
| 2 | Long Beach Transit | 0.5 | 0.108% | 36,765 | 60,669 | 0.219% | 131,016 | 167,781 | (167,781) | - |
| 3 | Santa Monica | 0.6 | 0.129% | 44,118 | 17,302 | 0.062% | 37,364 | 81,482 | (81,482) | - |
| 4 | Foothill Transit | - | 0.000% | | 312,318 | 1.127% | · · | 674,457 | (674,457) | - |
| 5 | Sub-total | 464.0 | 100.000% | 34,117,857 | 27,708,312 | 100.000% | 59,836,696 | 93,954,553 | - | 93,954,553 |
| | High Intensity Motorbus: | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Antelope Valley | 23.6 | 15.003% | 397,070 | 110,163 | 4.991% | 161,250 | 558,320 | (558,320) | - |
| 7 | Foothill Transit | 39.4 | 25.048% | 662,905 | 1,527,057 | 69.180% | 2,235,208 | 2,898,113 | (2,898,113) | - |
| 8 | LADOT | 35.1 | 22.314% | 590,558 | 114,819 | 5.202% | 168,065 | 758,622 | (758,622) | - |
| 9 | Metro Bus Ops. | 59.2 | 37.635% | 996,040 | 455,325 | 20.628% | 666,476 | 1,662,516 | 4,215,055 | 5,877,571 |
| 0 | Sub-total | 157.3 | 100.00% | 2,646,573 | 2,207,364 | 100.000% | 3,230,998 | 5,877,571 | - | 5,877,571 |
| 11 | Total LA County Share - UZA 2 | 621.30 | | \$ 36,764,430 | 29,915,676 | 200.000% | \$ 63,067,694 | \$ 99,832,124 | \$ - | \$ 99,832,124 |

⁽¹⁾ Operators' share of Section 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

FEDERAL SECTION 5339 - BUS AND BUS CAPITAL ALLOCATION

(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)

| | OPERATOR | LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA SHARE | Net Formula Share | Fund Exchange | Net Funds Available ⁽¹⁾ |
|----|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Antelope Valley | 0.1178% | \$ 31,791 | \$ (31,791) | \$ - |
| 2 | Arcadia | 0.1792% | 48,338 | (48,338) | - |
| 3 | Claremont | 0.0595% | 16,047 | (16,047) | - |
| 4 | Commerce | 0.3402% | 91,762 | (91,762) | - |
| 5 | Culver City | 1.4593% | 393,651 | (393,651) | - |
| 6 | Foothill | 8.6283% | 2,327,551 | (2,327,551) | - |
| 7 | Gardena | 1.2728% | 343,341 | (343,341) | - |
| 8 | LADOT | 3.7349% | 1,007,510 | (1,007,510) | - |
| 9 | La Mirada | 0.0661% | 17,844 | (17,844) | - |
| 10 | Long Beach | 6.3613% | 1,716,018 | (1,716,018) | - |
| 11 | Montebello | 1.9237% | 518,941 | (518,941) | - |
| 12 | Metro Bus Ops. | 67.9003% | 18,316,692 | 8,659,176 | 26,975,868 |
| 13 | Norwalk | 0.6915% | 186,532 | (186,532) | - |
| 14 | Redondo Beach | 0.3364% | 90,743 | (90,743) | - |
| 15 | Santa Clarita | 0.7742% | 208,849 | (208,849) | - |
| 16 | Santa Monica | 4.7088% | 1,270,249 | (1,270,249) | - |
| 17 | Torrance | 1.4458% | 390,008 | (390,008) | - |
| 18 | TOTAL | 100.0000% | \$ 26,975,868 | \$ - | \$ 26,975,868 |

⁽¹⁾ Operators' share of Section 5339 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

| Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority FY 2020 Transit Fund Allocations |
|---|
| |
| LOCAL SUBSIDIES |
| |
| |

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS

(In Order of Priority)

| | DIODITY I. EVICTING OUR REGIONAL PARATRANCIT PROJECTS | | EVO | All 1 |
|-------------|--|-----------|-----|-------------------|
| 1 | RIORITY I: EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL PARATRANSIT PROJECTS Agoura Hills | | \$ | Allocation 68,461 |
| 2 | Antelope Valley, Elderly & Disabled | | φ | 337,251 |
| 3 | Beverly Hills Taxi & Lift Van | | | 26,019 |
| 4 | Culver City Community Transit and LA County | | | 71,805 |
| 5 | Gardena, Hawthorne and LA County | | | 187,497 |
| 6 | Glendale Paratransit and La Canada Flintridge | | | 254,031 |
| 7 | Inglewood Transit and LA County | | | 177,270 |
| 8 | LA County (Whittier et al) | | | 214,534 |
| 9 | LA County (Willowbrook) | | | 41,321 |
| 10 | Los Angeles Taxi & Lift Van, City Ride | | | 329,818 |
| 11 | Los Angeles Dial-a-Ride, City Ride | | | 1,048,550 |
| 12 | Monrovia D.A.R. and LA County | | | 130,903 |
| 13 | Palos Verdes PTA D.A.R. | | | 40,549 |
| 14 | Palos Verdes PTA - PV Transit | | | 393,482 |
| 15 | Pasadena Community Transit, San Marino and LA County | | | 451,809 |
| 16 | Pomona Valley TA - E&D (Get About) | | | 760,883 |
| 17 | Pomona Valley TA General Public (VC) | | | 80,877 |
| 18 | Redondo Beach Community Transit and Hermosa Beach | | | 14,952 |
| 19 | Santa Clarita D.A.R. | | | 692,936 |
| 20 | West Hollywood (DAR) | | | 253,524 |
| 21 | West Hollywood (Taxi) | | | 95,979 |
| 22 | Whittier (DAR) | | | 274,919 |
| 23 | | Sub-total | \$ | 5,947,368 |
| Р | RIORITY II: SERVICES THAT RECEIVE GROWTH OVER INFLATION | | | |
| 24 | City of L.A Bus Service Continuation Project/DASH/Central City Shuttle | | \$ | _ |
| 25 | Santa Clarita - Local Fixed Route | | Ψ | _ |
| 26 | Antelope Valley - Local Fixed Route | | | _ |
| 27 | Foothill - Bus Service Continuation Project | | | _ |
| 28 | . 552 245 25. 1100 00/14/14440/// 1/0/000 | Sub-total | \$ | - |
| | | | | |
| 29 P | RIORITY III: APPROVED EXISTING EXPANDED PARATRANSIT | | \$ | - |
| 30 P | RIORITY IV: APPROVED NEW EXPANDED PARATRANSIT SERVICES | | \$ | - |

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued)

(In Order of Priority)

| | Priority V: VOLUNTARY NTD DATA REPORTING | | | | | |
|----|---|--------------|-----------|-------------------------|----|------------------------|
| | (Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment) FY18 NTD Report Year | | Estimate | Tier 2 Deduction (1) | | FY20 Net Allocation |
| 31 | City of Alhambra (MB and DR) | \$ | 113,489 | Deduction (1) | \$ | 113,489 |
| 32 | City of Artesia (DR) | Φ | 5,519 | | Φ | 5,519 |
| 33 | City of Azusa (DR) | | 40,403 | | | 40,403 |
| 34 | City of Azusa (DK) City of Baldwin Park (MB and DR) | | 87,681 | | | 87,681 |
| 35 | City of Bell (MB/DR) | | 23,617 | | | 23,617 |
| 36 | City of Bell (MB/BIN) City of Bell Gardens (MB and DR) | | 63,131 | | | 63,131 |
| 37 | City of Bellflower (MB and DR) | | 42,889 | | | 42,889 |
| 38 | City of Burbank (MB)* | | 132,427 | (18,646) | | 113,781 |
| 39 | City of Calabasas (MB and DR) | | 68,692 | (10,040) | | 68,692 |
| 40 | City of Carson (MB and DT) | | 186,633 | | | 186,633 |
| | City of Cariston (MB) | | 100,280 | | | 100,280 |
| 41 | * , | | , | | | , |
| 42 | City of Compton (MB) City of Covina (DR) | | 54,786 | | | 54,786 24,916 |
| 43 | , , | | 24,916 | | | |
| 44 | City of Cudahy (MB and DR) | | 21,958 | | | 21,958 |
| 45 | City of Downey (MB and DR) | | 81,198 | | | 81,198 |
| 46 | City of Duarte (MB) | | 34,538 | | | 34,538 |
| 47 | City of El Monte (MB and DR) | | 138,867 | | | 138,867 |
| 48 | City of Glendora (MB and DR) | | 87,431 | (45.500) | | 87,431 |
| 49 | City of Glendale (MB)* | | 323,780 | (45,590) | | 278,190 |
| 50 | City of Huntington Park (MB) | | - | (405.450) | | 4 007 750 |
| 51 | City of Los Angeles Community DASH* (MB) | | 1,172,901 | (165,150) | | 1,007,750 |
| 52 | City of Los Angeles Department of Aging (DR) | | 178,380 | | | 178,380 |
| 53 | LA County Dept. of Public Works Avocado Heights (MB) |) | 16,605 | | | 16,605 |
| 54 | LA County Dept. of Public Works East Valinda (MB) | | 18,595 | | | 18,595 |
| 55 | LA County Dept. of Public Works East LA (MB and DR) | | 138,811 | | | 138,811 |
| 56 | LA County Dept. of Public Works Willowbrook (MB) | | 33,193 | | | 33,193 |
| 57 | LA County Dept. of Public Works King Medical (MB) | | 14,745 | | | 14,745 |
| 58 | LA County Dept. of Public Works Athens (MB) | | 15,797 | | | 15,797 |
| 59 | LA County Dept. of Public Works Lennnox (MB) | | 12,967 | | | 12,967 |
| 60 | LA County Dept. of Public Works South Whittier (MB) | | 89,129 | | | 89,129 |
| 61 | City of Lawndale (MB) | | 32,803 | | | 32,803 |
| 62 | City of Lynwood (MB) | | 57,023 | | | 57,023 |
| 63 | City of Malibu (DT) | | 22,686 | | | 22,686 |
| 64 | City of Manhattan Beach (DR) | | 18,032 | | | 18,032 |
| 65 | City of Maywood (DR) | | 23,723 | | | 23,723 |
| 66 | City of Monterey Park (MB and DR) | | 105,754 | | | 105,754 |
| 67 | City of Pasadena (MB)* | | 303,676 | (42,759) | | 260,917 |
| 68 | City of Pico Rivera (DR) | | 9,215 | | | 9,215 |
| 69 | City of Rosemead (MB and DR) | | 74,101 | | | 74,101 |
| 70 | City of Santa fe Springs (DR) | | 5,581 | | | 5,581 |
| 71 | City of South Gate (DT and MB) | | 153,626 | | | 153,626 |
| 72 | City of South Pasadena (DR) | | 15,267 | | | 15,267 |
| 73 | City of West Covina (MB and DR) | | 98,397 | | | 98,397 |
| 74 | City of West Hollywood (MB) | | 44,158 | | | 44,158 |
| 75 | | Sub-Total \$ | 4,287,397 | \$ (272,146) | \$ | 4,015,252 |

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued)

(In Order of Priority)

| P | RIORITY VI: SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS | | |
|----|--|-----------|------------------|
| 76 | Avalon Ferry Subsidy | | \$ 700,000 |
| 77 | Avalon Transit Services (Jitney and Dial-a-Ride) | | 300,000 |
| 78 | Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service | | 1,057,000 |
| 79 | | Sub-total | \$ 2,057,000 |
| | | | |
| 80 | Total Expenditures | | \$ 12,019,620 |
| 81 | Reserves for contingencies (2) | | 6,184,542 |
| 82 | | Sub-total | 18,204,162 |
| 83 | Total Estimated Revenue | | 18,204,162 |
| 84 | Surplus (Deficit) | | \$ - |

NOTES:

- (1) Tier 2 Operators' share have been reduced by % of GOI Funding per Tier 2 Operators Funding Program.
- (2) These funds are held in reserve for future contingency purposes such as deficit years, growth over inflation, approved new or existing expanded paratransit services, and new NTD reporters.

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8

| | | Population | Population | Proposition A | Proposition C | Measure R | Measure M | TDA Article 3 | TDA Arti | cle 8 (S & H) | | |
|----|--------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|
| | LOCAL JURISDICTION | DOF Report | as % of | Local Return | Local Return | Local Return | Local Return | Ped & Bike | 15/// | Article 8 | | Total |
| | | 2018 data (1) | County | Estimate (2) | Estimate (2) | Estimate (2) | Estimate | (A) | Population | Allocation | | |
| 1 | AGOURA HILLS | 20,858 | 0.2039% | \$ 422,689 | \$ 350,609 | \$ 262,985 | \$ 298,018 | \$ 15,086 | | \$ - | \$ | 1,349,388 |
| 2 | ALHAMBRA | 86,420 | 0.8447% | 1,751,308 | 1,452,664 | 1,089,615 | 1,234,764 | 62,462 | | | | 5,590,814 |
| 3 | ARCADIA | 57,506 | 0.5621% | 1,165,364 | 966,638 | 725,057 | 821,643 | 41,569 | | | | 3,720,270 |
| 4 | ARTESIA | 16,781 | 0.1640% | 340,068 | 282,078 | 211,581 | 239,766 | 12,140 | | | | 1,085,633 |
| 5 | AVALON | 3,860 | 0.0377% | 78,223 | 64,884 | 48,668 | 55,151 | 5,000 | 3,860 | 164,382 | | 416,309 |
| 6 | AZUSA | 49,606 | 0.4848% | 1,005,269 | 833,845 | 625,451 | 708,768 | 35,860 | | | | 3,209,193 |
| 7 | BALDWIN PARK | 76,463 | 0.7473% | 1,549,529 | 1,285,293 | 964,074 | 1,092,499 | 55,267 | | | | 4,946,662 |
| 8 | BELL | 36,297 | 0.3548% | 735,562 | 610,129 | 457,646 | 518,610 | 26,243 | | | | 2,348,189 |
| 9 | BELLFLOWER | 77,466 | 0.7571% | 1,569,854 | 1,302,153 | 976,720 | 1,106,830 | 55,992 | | | | 5,011,549 |
| 10 | BELL GARDENS | 42,971 | 0.4200% | 870,811 | 722,315 | 541,794 | 613,967 | 31,065 | | | | 2,779,952 |
| 11 | BEVERLY HILLS | 34,443 | 0.3366% | 697,990 | 578,964 | 434,270 | 492,120 | 24,903 | | | | 2,228,247 |
| 12 | BRADBURY | 1,068 | 0.0104% | 21,643 | 17,952 | 13,466 | 15,260 | 5,000 | | | | 73,321 |
| 13 | BURBANK | 107,029 | 1.0461% | 2,168,951 | 1,799,088 | 1,349,461 | 1,529,225 | 77,355 | | | | 6,924,079 |
| 14 | CALABASAS | 24,183 | 0.2364% | 490,070 | 406,500 | 304,908 | 345,525 | 17,489 | | | | 1,564,493 |
| 15 | CARSON | 93,453 | 0.9134% | 1,893,832 | 1,570,884 | 1,178,290 | 1,335,251 | 67,544 | | | | 6,045,802 |
| 16 | CERRITOS | 50,025 | 0.4889% | 1,013,761 | 840,888 | 630,734 | 714,755 | 36,163 | | | | 3,236,299 |
| 17 | CLAREMONT | 36,293 | 0.3547% | 735,480 | 610,062 | 457,596 | 518,552 | 26,240 | | | | 2,347,930 |
| 18 | COMMERCE | 13,061 | 0.1277% | 264,682 | 219,547 | 164,678 | 186,615 | 9,452 | | | | 844,974 |
| 19 | COMPTON | 99,751 | 0.9750% | 2,021,462 | 1,676,749 | 1,257,697 | 1,425,237 | 72,095 | | | | 6,453,241 |
| 20 | COVINA | 48,901 | 0.4780% | 990,983 | 821,994 | 616,562 | 698,695 | 35,350 | | | | 3,163,584 |
| 21 | CUDAHY | 24,328 | 0.2378% | 493,009 | 408,938 | 306,736 | 347,597 | 17,594 | | | | 1,573,874 |
| 22 | CULVER CITY | 39,847 | 0.3895% | 807,503 | 669,802 | 502,406 | 569,332 | 28,808 | | | | 2,577,850 |
| 23 | DIAMOND BAR | 57,245 | 0.5595% | 1,160,074 | 962,251 | 721,766 | 817,913 | 41,380 | | | | 3,703,385 |
| 24 | DOWNEY | 113,670 | 1.1110% | 2,303,531 | 1,910,719 | 1,433,193 | 1,624,111 | 82,153 | | | | 7,353,708 |
| 25 | DUARTE | 21,999 | 0.2150% | 445,811 | 369,789 | 277,372 | 314,321 | 15,911 | | | | 1,423,203 |
| 26 | EL MONTE | 116,942 | 1.1430% | 2,369,839 | 1,965,719 | 1,474,448 | 1,670,861 | 84,518 | | | | 7,565,384 |
| 27 | EL SEGUNDO | 16,777 | 0.1640% | 339,987 | 282,010 | 211,531 | 239,709 | 12,137 | | | | 1,085,374 |
| 28 | GARDENA | 60,987 | 0.5961% | 1,235,906 | 1,025,152 | 768,947 | 871,379 | 44,084 | | | | 3,945,468 |
| 29 | GLENDALE | 201,705 | 1.9715% | 4,087,567 | 3,390,530 | 2,543,171 | 2,881,950 | 145,769 | | | | 13,048,987 |
| 30 | GLENDORA | 52,452 | 0.5127% | 1,062,944 | 881,684 | 661,334 | 749,431 | 37,916 | | | 2000/2000/2000/2000 | 3,393,310 |
| 31 | HAWAIIAN GARDENS | 14,625 | 0.1429% | 296,377 | 245,837 | 184,397 | 208,961 | 10,582 | | | | 946,154 |
| 32 | HAWTHORNE | 88,706 | 0.8670% | 1,797,634 | 1,491,090 | 1,118,438 | 1,267,427 | 64,114 | | | | 5,738,703 |
| 33 | HERMOSA BEACH | 19,684 | 0.1924% | 398,898 | 330,875 | 248,183 | 281,244 | 14,238 | | | | 1,273,438 |
| 34 | HIDDEN HILLS | 1,900 | 0.0186% | 38,504 | 31,938 | 23,956 | 27,147 | 5,000 | | | | 126,544 |
| 35 | HUNTINGTON PARK | 59,425 | 0.5808% | 1,204,252 | 998,896 | 749,252 | 849,061 | 42,955 | | | | 3,844,417 |

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8 (continued)

| | | Population | Population | Proposition A | Proposition C | Measure R | Measure M | TDA Article 3 | TDA Article 8 (S & H) | | |
|----|-----------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|
| | LOCAL JURISDICTION | DOF Report | as % of | Local Return | Local Return | Local Return | Local Return | Ped & Bike | | Article 8 | Total |
| | | 2016 data (1) | County | Estimate (2) | Estimate (2) | Estimate (2) | Estimate | (A) | Population | Allocation | |
| 36 | INDUSTRY (B) | 437 | 0.0043% | 8,856 | 7,346 | 5,510 | 6,244 | - | | | 27,955 |
| 37 | INGLEWOOD | 113,476 | 1.1091% | 2,299,600 | 1,907,458 | 1,430,747 | 1,621,339 | 82,013 | | | 7,341,157 |
| 38 | IRWINDALE | 1,414 | 0.0138% | 28,655 | 23,768 | 17,828 | 20,203 | 5,000 | | | 95,455 |
| 39 | LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE | 20,638 | 0.2017% | 418,231 | 346,911 | 260,212 | 294,875 | 14,927 | | | 1,335,155 |
| 40 | LA HABRA HEIGHTS | 5,453 | 0.0533% | 110,505 | 91,661 | 68,753 | 77,912 | 5,000 | | | 353,832 |
| 41 | LAKEWOOD | 81,126 | 0.7929% | 1,644,025 | 1,363,675 | 1,022,867 | 1,159,124 | 58,637 | | | 5,248,327 |
| 42 | LA MIRADA | 49,558 | 0.4844% | 1,004,297 | 833,038 | 624,846 | 708,082 | 35,825 | | | 3,206,087 |
| 43 | LANCASTER | 161,148 | 1.5751% | 3,265,677 | 2,708,793 | 2,031,813 | 2,302,474 | 116,462 | 161,148 | 6,862,652 | 17,287,870 |
| 44 | LA PUENTE | 40,640 | 0.3972% | 823,573 | 683,132 | 512,404 | 580,662 | 29,381 | | | 2,629,152 |
| 45 | LA VERNE | 33,169 | 0.3242% | 672,172 | 557,549 | 418,207 | 473,917 | 23,982 | | | 2,145,828 |
| 46 | LAWNDALE | 33,580 | 0.3282% | 680,501 | 564,458 | 423,389 | 479,789 | 24,279 | | | 2,172,417 |
| 47 | LOMITA | 20,659 | 0.2019% | 418,656 | 347,264 | 260,476 | 295,175 | 14,942 | | | 1,336,514 |
| 48 | LONG BEACH | 477,628 | 4.6683% | 9,679,168 | 8,028,616 | 6,022,110 | 6,824,323 | 345,154 | | | 30,899,372 |
| 49 | LOS ANGELES CITY | 4,021,488 | 39.3058% | 81,495,766 | 67,598,593 | 50,704,405 | 57,458,804 | 3,297,402 | | | 260,554,972 |
| 50 | LYNWOOD | 71,895 | 0.7027% | 1,456,958 | 1,208,508 | 906,479 | 1,027,232 | 51,966 | | | 4,651,143 |
| 51 | MALIBU | 12,939 | 0.1265% | 262,210 | 217,496 | 163,140 | 184,872 | 9,364 | | | 837,081 |
| 52 | MANHATTAN BEACH | 35,961 | 0.3515% | 728,752 | 604,481 | 453,410 | 513,809 | 26,000 | | | 2,326,452 |
| 53 | MAYWOOD | 28,021 | 0.2739% | 567,848 | 471,015 | 353,299 | 400,363 | 20,262 | | | 1,812,786 |
| 54 | MONROVIA | 38,735 | 0.3786% | 784,968 | 651,110 | 488,385 | 553,444 | 28,004 | | | 2,505,911 |
| 55 | MONTEBELLO | 64,142 | 0.6269% | 1,299,843 | 1,078,185 | 808,726 | 916,457 | 46,364 | | | 4,149,575 |
| 56 | MONTEREY PARK | 62,154 | 0.6075% | 1,259,556 | 1,044,768 | 783,661 | 888,053 | 44,927 | | | 4,020,965 |
| 57 | NORWALK | 107,251 | 1.0483% | 2,173,450 | 1,802,819 | 1,352,260 | 1,532,397 | 77,515 | | | 6,938,441 |
| 58 | PALMDALE | 158,658 | 1.5507% | 3,215,217 | 2,666,938 | 2,000,419 | 2,266,897 | 114,662 | 158,658 | 6,756,613 | 17,020,745 |
| 59 | PALOS VERDES ESTATES | 13,508 | 0.1320% | 273,741 | 227,061 | 170,314 | 193,002 | 9,775 | | | 873,892 |
| 60 | PARAMOUNT | 55,909 | 0.5465% | 1,133,000 | 939,794 | 704,921 | 798,825 | 40,415 | | | 3,616,955 |
| 61 | PASADENA | 143,379 | 1.4014% | 2,905,587 | 2,410,108 | 1,807,775 | 2,048,591 | 103,622 | | | 9,275,682 |
| 62 | PICO RIVERA | 64,170 | 0.6272% | 1,300,410 | 1,078,656 | 809,079 | 916,858 | 46,384 | | | 4,151,387 |
| 63 | POMONA | 154,718 | 1.5122% | 3,135,372 | 2,600,709 | 1,950,742 | 2,210,602 | 111,815 | | | 10,009,240 |
| 64 | RANCHO PALOS VERDES | 42,628 | 0.4166% | 863,860 | 716,549 | 537,470 | 609,067 | 30,818 | | | 2,757,762 |
| 65 | REDONDO BEACH | 68,602 | 0.6705% | 1,390,225 | 1,153,155 | 864,959 | 980,182 | 49,587 | | | 4,438,107 |
| 66 | ROLLING HILLS | 1,938 | 0.0189% | 39,274 | 32,577 | 24,435 | 27,690 | 5,000 | | | 128,975 |
| 67 | ROLLING HILLS ESTATES | 8,106 | 0.0792% | 164,269 | 136,257 | 102,203 | 115,818 | 5,871 | | | 524,418 |
| 68 | ROSEMEAD | 54,940 | 0.5370% | 1,113,363 | 923,506 | 692,704 | 784,980 | 39,714 | | | 3,554,267 |
| 69 | SAN DIMAS | 34,471 | 0.3369% | 698,557 | 579,435 | 434,623 | 492,520 | 24,923 | | | 2,230,059 |
| 70 | SAN FERNANDO | 24,560 | 0.2400% | 497,710 | 412,838 | 309,662 | 350,912 | 17,761 | | | 1,588,883 |

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R and MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 & 8 (continued)

| | | Population | Population | Proposition A | Proposition C | Measure R | Measure M | TDA Article 3 | TDA Article 8 (S & H) | | |
|----|--------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | LOCAL JURISDICTION | DOF Report | as % of | Local Return | Local Return | Local Return | Local Return | Ped & Bike | | Article 8 | Total |
| | | 2016 data (1) | County | Estimate (2) | Estimate (2) | Estimate (2) | Estimate | | Population | Allocation | |
| 71 | SAN GABRIEL | 40,781 | 0.3986% | 826,430 | 685,502 | 514,182 | 582,677 | 29,483 | | | 2,638,274 |
| 72 | SAN MARINO | 13,255 | 0.1296% | 268,614 | 222,808 | 167,124 | 189,387 | 9,592 | | | 857,524 |
| 73 | SANTA CLARITA | 215,348 | 2.1048% | 4,364,044 | 3,619,860 | 2,715,187 | 3,076,881 | 155,627 | 215,348 | 9,170,814 | 23,102,412 |
| 74 | SANTA FE SPRINGS | 18,217 | 0.1781% | 369,169 | 306,216 | 229,687 | 260,284 | 13,178 | | | 1,178,533 |
| 75 | SANTA MONICA | 92,305 | 0.9022% | 1,870,568 | 1,551,587 | 1,163,816 | 1,318,849 | 66,715 | | | 5,971,534 |
| 76 | SIERRA MADRE | 10,973 | 0.1072% | 222,369 | 184,449 | 138,352 | 156,782 | 7,943 | | | 709,894 |
| 77 | SIGNAL HILL | 11,555 | 0.1129% | 234,163 | 194,232 | 145,690 | 165,097 | 8,364 | | | 747,546 |
| 78 | SOUTH EL MONTE | 20,864 | 0.2039% | 422,811 | 350,710 | 263,061 | 298,104 | 15,091 | | | 1,349,776 |
| 79 | SOUTH GATE | 98,047 | 0.9583% | 1,986,930 | 1,648,106 | 1,236,213 | 1,400,890 | 70,864 | | | 6,343,003 |
| 80 | SOUTH PASADENA | 26,026 | 0.2544% | 527,419 | 437,480 | 328,145 | 371,858 | 18,821 | | | 1,683,723 |
| 81 | TEMPLE CITY | 36,236 | 0.3542% | 734,325 | 609,104 | 456,877 | 517,738 | 26,199 | | | 2,344,242 |
| 82 | TORRANCE | 149,157 | 1.4579% | 3,022,678 | 2,507,232 | 1,880,627 | 2,131,147 | 107,797 | | | 9,649,481 |
| 83 | VERNON | 209 | 0.0020% | 4,235 | 3,513 | 2,635 | 2,986 | 5,000 | | | 18,370 |
| 84 | WALNUT | 30,151 | 0.2947% | 611,012 | 506,819 | 380,155 | 430,796 | 21,801 | | | 1,950,583 |
| 85 | WEST COVINA | 108,289 | 1.0584% | 2,194,485 | 1,820,268 | 1,365,348 | 1,547,227 | 78,265 | | | 7,005,593 |
| 86 | WEST HOLLYWOOD | 35,818 | 0.3501% | 725,855 | 602,077 | 451,607 | 511,766 | 25,897 | | | 2,317,201 |
| 87 | WESTLAKE VILLAGE | 8,353 | 0.0816% | 169,274 | 140,408 | 105,318 | 119,347 | 6,050 | | | 540,398 |
| 88 | WHITTIER | 87,117 | 0.8515% | 1,765,433 | 1,464,380 | 1,098,403 | 1,244,723 | 62,966 | | | 5,635,905 |
| 89 | UNINCORP LA COUNTY | 1,054,744 | 10.3090% | 21,374,469 | 17,729,559 | 13,298,602 | 15,070,126 | 1,675,470 | 136,022 | 5,792,635 | 74,940,861 |
| 90 | TOTAL | 10,231,271 | 100.0000% | \$207,337,500 | \$171,981,000 | \$128,999,642 | \$146,183,850 | \$8,722,313 | 675,036 | \$28,747,096 | \$ 691,971,400 |

NOTES:

TDA Article 3 Allocation:

- (A) 15% of the estimated revenue is first awarded to the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County (30%-70% split) as Supplemental Allocation.
- (B) City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely.

⁽¹⁾ Population estimates are based on State of California Department of Finance's (DOF) 2018 population estimates. The Unincorporated Population figure for TDA Article 8 is based on 2007 estimates by Urban Research.

⁽²⁾ Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M Local Return funds are allocated their share of estimated revenues (minus administration) without carryover since payments are made based on actual revenues received.

Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies & Assumptions for Revenue Estimates

- Sales tax revenue estimate is 3.4% over FY 2019 budget based upon review of several economic forecasts.
- Consumer price index (CPI) of 2.28% represents a composite index from several economic forecasting sources and is applied to Proposition C Discretionary program for Included Operators, Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program. Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) receives 3% increase from FY 2019 allocation.
- Senate Bill (SB) 1, known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, allocates formula funds to transit agencies for two different programs: 1) State of Good Repair (SGR) and 2) State Transit Assistance. SGR is a new program funded by the increase in Vehicle License Fees. In order to be eligible for SGR funding, eligible transit agencies must comply with various reporting requirements. The second program augments the base of the State Transit Assistance program with a portion of the new sales tax on diesel fuel. Recipients are asked to provide supplemental reporting on the augmented State Transit Assistance funding received each fiscal year to allow for transparency and accountability of all SB 1 expenditures. Recipients are asked to report on the general uses of STA expenditures. These funds are allocated using FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.
- Pursuant to PUC 99233.1 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Metro shall be allocated funds necessary
 to administer TDA funding. TDA planning and administrative funding for Metro has not increased since FY12, while
 demand for planning and administration has continued to grow over the last eight years. In order to keep pace with
 the growing planning needs, expansion of transit, and regional coordination throughout LA County, Metro will
 increase TDA Administration allocation by sales tax growth each year.
- Pursuant to section 130004, up to 1 percent of annual TDA revenues shall be allocated to Metro and up to ¾ percent shall be allocated to Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) for transportation planning and programming process. Starting FY20, Metro will increase TDA planning allocation to 1 percent of annual TDA revenues.
- Proposition A 95% of 40% growth over inflation (GOI) revenue of \$65.3 million is used to fund formula equivalents for Eligible and Tier 2 operators.

- Proposition 1B PTMISEA and Security Bridge funding allocation represents the final installments of FY 2015 funding allocation.
- Federal formula grants (urbanized Formula Section 5307, Bus and Bus Facilities Section 5339, and State of Good Repair Section 5337) are presented for budgetary purposes only and will be adjusted upon receipt of the final apportionments.
- Federal Sections 5307 and 5339 are calculated using the Capital Allocation Procedure (CAP) as adopted by the Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS). Section 5337 is calculated based on directional route miles and vehicle revenue miles formula used by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Operators' shares of Sections 5339 and 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of Section 5307 allocation.

Bus Transit Subsidies (\$1,345.0M)

Formula Allocation Procedure (\$778.2M)

Allocations of transit subsidy funds (STA, TDA Article 4, and Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary) are based on the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP) that was adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) Board of Directors and legislated through SB 1755 (Calderon – 1996). Los Angeles County Included and Eligible Operators submitted their FY 2017 Transit Performance Measures (TPM) data for the FY 2020 FAP calculations. This data was validated and used in the calculations. The FAP as applied uses 50% of operators' vehicle service miles and 50%

of operators' fare units. (Fare units are defined as operators' passenger revenues divided by operators' base cash fare).

In November 2008, the Board approved a Funding Stability Policy, where operators who increase their fares will have their fare units frozen at their level prior to the fare increase until such time that fare unit calculation based on the new higher fare becomes greater than the frozen level.

In FY 2008, the Board set aside \$18.0 million from GOI fund to provide operating assistance to Tier 2 Operators including LADOT Community Dash, Glendale, Pasadena and Burbank fixed route transit programs. Allocation is calculated using

the same methodology as in the FAP and does not negatively impact the existing Included and Eligible Operators. This program was funded \$6.0 million each year for three years beginning FY 2011. With the Board's approval, we will continue to fund this program in FY 2020 in the amount of \$6.0 million.

Measure R Allocations (\$179.6M)

- Measure R 20% Bus Operations (\$178.6M)
 - Measure R, approved by voters in November 2008, allocates 20% of the revenues for bus service operations, maintenance and expansion. The 20% bus operations share is allocated using FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.
- Clean Fuel Bus Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock Fund (\$10.0M)
 Measure R ordinance also provides a lump sum allocation of \$150.0 million over the life of the ordinance for clean fuel and bus facilities. This fund is allocated to Metro and LA County Municipal Operators at \$10 million every even year.

Measure M 20% Transit Operations (\$184.7M)

Measure M, approved by voters of Los Angeles County in November, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion. As defined in Section 3 of the Measure M Ordinance, the 20% Transit Operations share is allocated according to FAP calculation methodology to Included and Eligible Operators.

Proposition C 5% Security (\$40.2M)

Ninety percent of Proposition C 5% Security fund is allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and Metro Operations for security services. State law requires that each operator's share of funds be based on its share of unlinked boardings to total Los Angeles County unlinked boardings. The unlinked boardings used for allocating these funds are based on the operators' TPM reports of LACMTA approved services. The remaining ten percent is allocated to Metro to mitigate other security needs.

Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs (\$79.1M)

The following programs are funded with Prop C 40% Discretionary funds:

Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP). MOSIP was adopted by the Board in April 2001. The program is intended to provide bus service improvements to the transit dependent in Los Angeles County by reducing overcrowding and expanding services. Funding is increased by 3% from the previous year's funding level. All Municipal Operators participate in this program and funds are allocated according to FAP calculation methodology.

- **Zero-Fare Compensation.** The City of Commerce is allocated an amount equivalent to its FAP share as compensation for having zero fare revenues.
- **Foothill Mitigation.** This fund is allocated to operators to mitigate the impact of Foothill becoming an Included Operator. The Foothill Mitigation Program is calculated similarly to the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP, except that Foothill's data is frozen at its pre-inclusion level. The result of this calculation is then deducted from the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP to arrive at the Foothill Mitigation funding level. This methodology was adopted by the BOS in November 1995.
- Transit Service Expansion Program (TSE). Created in 1990 to increase ridership by providing funds for
 additional services to relieve congestion. The TSE Program continues for eight Municipal Operators including
 Culver City, Foothill Transit, Gardena, Long Beach, Torrance, Antelope Valley, Santa Clarita, and LADOT for
 expansion or introduction of fixed-route bus service in congested corridors. Metro Operations does not participate
 in this program.
- Base Re-Structuring Program (Base-Re). The Base Restructuring Program continues for four Municipal Operators who added service before 1990. These operators are Commerce, Foothill Transit, Montebello and Torrance.

- Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP). Created in 1996 to provide additional buses on existing lines to relieve overcrowding. Metro Operations and all other Los Angeles County transit operators participate in this program, except for Claremont, Commerce, and La Mirada.
- Proposition 1B Bridge Funding Program. The Bridge Funding Program was established to compensate certain operators for the differences in State Proposition 1B allocation, which uses the State Transit Assistance (STA) allocation methodology, and the Los Angeles County Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP). Operators who would have received less or no funding under the State method are allocated with local funds if the FAP method is used. This program continues through the life of the bond as approved by the Board in September 2009. For FY 2020, Bridge Funding allocation for the Transit Modernization (PTMISEA) and Security Bridge funding account represents the final installments the operators earned from FY 2015 Proposition 1B allocation.

Federal Funds (\$372.5M)

Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program (\$245.7M)

The Urbanized Area Formula Funding program (49 U.S.C. 5307) makes Federal resources available to urbanized areas for transit capital and operating assistance in urbanized areas and for transportation related planning. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2020, \$245.7 million in Federal Section 5307 Urban Formula funds are allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and LACMTA Operations. Eighty-five percent (85%) of these funds have been allocated based on a capital allocation formula consisting of total vehicle miles, number of vehicles, unlinked boardings, passenger revenue and base fare. The15% Capital Discretionary fund and the 1% Transit Enhancement Act fund have been allocated on a discretionary basis with BOS review and concurrence.

At its April 18, 2017 meeting, the BOS allocated \$300,000 each year for the next three years to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCRTTC) from the 15% discretionary fund. SCRTTC provides a training resource network comprised of Community Colleges, Universities, Transit Agencies, Public and Private Organizations focused on the development and delivery of training and employment of the transit industry workforce that is proficient at the highest standards, practices, and procedures for the industry. FY 2020 is the final year of allocating this fund to the SCRTTC. The funds will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 share and disbursed through Long Beach Transit.

Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities (\$27.0M)

Section 5339 is a grant program authorized by 49 United States Code (U.S.C) Section 5339 as specified under the Federal Reauthorization Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century or "MAP 21". The Program provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses, vans, and related equipment, and to construct bus-related facilities. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2020, \$27.0 million is allocated to Los Angeles County operators and Metro operations using the Capital Allocation Procedure adopted by the BOS. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

Section 5337 State of Good Repair (\$99.8M)

Section 5337 provides grants for new and expanded rail, bus rapid transit, and ferry systems that reflect local priorities to improve transportation options in key corridors. This program defines a new category of eligible projects, known as core capacity projects, which expand capacity by at least 10% in existing fixed guideway transit corridors that are already at or above capacity today, or are expected to be at or above capacity within five years. The program also includes provisions for streamlining aspects of the New Starts process to increase efficiency and reduce the time required to meet critical milestones. This funding program consists of two separate formula programs:

- **High Intensity Fixed Guideway** provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for rail and buses operating on lanes for exclusive use of public transportation vehicles, i. e. bus rapid transit. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2020, \$94.0 million is allocated to Metro and Municipal operations.
- High Intensity Motorbus provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for buses operating on lanes not fully reserved only for public transportation vehicles. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY 2020, \$5.9 million is allocated to Metro Operations and Los Angeles County operators following the FTA formula: the fund allocated with Directional Route Miles (DRM) data is allocated using the operators' DRM data while the fund allocated with Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) data is allocated using the operators' VRM data. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

Proposition A Incentive Programs (\$18.2M)

In lieu of TDA Article 4.5, five percent (5%) of Proposition A 40% Discretionary funds have been allocated to local transit operators through Board-adopted Incentive Program guidelines. Programs include the Sub-Regional Paratransit Program, the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and the Sub-Regional Grant Projects. Under the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program, local transit operators report operating data for entitlement to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds. Operators participating in the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and who are not receiving Sub-Regional Paratransit funds are allocated an amount equal to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds they generate for the region. Under the Sub-Regional Grant Projects, Avalon's Ferry, which provides a lifeline service to its residents who commute between Avalon and the mainland, will continue to receive \$700,000 in subsidy.

At its May 16, 2017 meeting, the Local Transit System Subcommittee (LTSS) approved an additional \$50,000 to Avalon's Transit Services annual subsidy increasing the funding level to \$300,000, and the Hollywood Bowl Shuttles subsidy remains at \$1,057,000.

Local Returns (\$692.0M)

Proposition A 25% (\$207.3M) Proposition C 20% (\$172.0M) Measure R 15% (\$129.0M) Measure M 17% (\$146.2M)

Local Return estimates are apportioned to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares according to state statutes and Proposition A, Proposition C, Measure R and Measure M ordinances.

TDA Article 3 funds (\$8.7M)

TDA Article 3 funds are for Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities and split into two parts:

• The 15% of TDA Article 3 funds are allocated towards maintenance of regionally significant Class I bike paths as determined by LACMTA policy and in current TDA Article 3 Guidelines. This portion is divided in a ratio of 30% to 70% to City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles, respectively.

The 85% of the funds are allocated to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on
population shares. TDA Article 3 has a minimum allocation amount of \$5,000. The City of Industry has opted out of
the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely. The Street and Freeway Subcommittee and the Technical Advisory
Committee (TAC) have approved this redistribution methodology in prior years, and it remains unchanged.

TDA Article 8 funds (\$28.7M)

TDA Article 8 funds are allocated to areas within Los Angeles County, but outside the Metro service area. This includes allocations to Avalon, Lancaster, Palmdale, Santa Clarita and portions of unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County. The amount of TDA funds for Article 8 allocation is calculated based on the proportionate population of these areas to the total population of Los Angeles County.